MASTER COPY 82-ABC-3

ASSABET RIVER

1986-87



MASSACHUSETTS DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY ENGINEERING

المراجع المراجع

KENNETH HAGG, ACTING COMMISSIONER

Division of Water Pollution Control

Thomas C. McMahon, Director

ASSABET RIVER BASIN

1986-1987

WATER QUALITY DATA WASTEWATER DISCHARGE DATA WATER QUALITY ANALYSIS

ΒY

NORA E. HANLEY ENVIRONMENTAL ENGINEER

MASSACHUSETTS DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY ENGINEERING DIVISION OF WATER POLLUTION CONTROL TECHNICAL SERVICES BRANCH WESTBOROUGH, MASSACHUSETTS

Executive Office of Environmental Affairs James S. Hoyte, Secretary

Massachusetts Department of Environmental Quality Engineering Kenneth Hagg, Acting Commissioner

> Division of Water Pollution Control Thomas C. McMahon, Director

APRIL 1988

PUBLICATION: #15,461-100-50-5-88-CR Approved by Ric Murphy, State Purchasing Agent

ITEM	PAGE								
Foreword 3									
List of Tables	4								
List of Figures	6								
Introduction	7								
Physical Characteristics of the Assabet River	13								
Data Analysis	17								
 A. Introduction B. Wastewater Discharges C. Conventional Pollutants D. Toxic Pollutants E. Summary 	17 20 22 30 31								
Stream Sampling Data	32								
A. Upper Assabet Water Quality Data B. Upper Assabet Flow Data C. Assabet Water Quality Data (Intensive Surveys) D. Assabet Flow Data (Intensive Surveys)	32 48 50 79								
Wastewater Discharge Data	81								
The Assessment of Water Pollution	90								
Analytical Methods Used at the Lawrence Experiment Station	95								
APPENDIX A: U.S. EPA Proposed Freshwater Criteria for Selected Heavy Metals Designated to Protect Aquatic Life	97								
APPENDIX B: U.S. EPA Proposed Freshwater Criteria for Selected Heavy Metals Adjusted for Assabet River Hardness	98								

.

FOREWORD

The Massachusetts Division of Water Pollution Control was established by the Massachusetts Clean Water Act, Chapter 21 of the General Laws as amended by Chapter 685 of the Acts of 1966. Included in the duties and responsibilities of the Division is the periodic examination of the water quality of various coastal waters, rivers, streams and ponds of the Commonwealth, as stated in section 27, paragraph 5 of the Acts. This section further directs the Division to publish the results of such examination together with the standards of water quality established for the various waters. The Technical Services Branch of the Division of Water Pollution Control has, among its responsibilities, the execution of this directive. This report is published under the Authority of the Acts and is among a continuing series of reports issued by the Division presenting water quality data and analyses, water quality management plans, baseline and intensive limnological studies and various special studies.

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE

TITLE

PAGE

1	Assabet River Basin Classification	10
2	Assabet River Basin: Location of Sampling Stations	16
3	Upper Assabet River - Time, Temperature, Dissolved Oxygen Data	33
4	Upper Assabet River Survey - BOD5 Data	35
5	Upper Assabet River Survey - pH Data	36
6	Upper Assabet River Survey - Total Alkalinity Data	37
7	Upper Assabet River Survey - Total Hardness Data	38
8	Upper Assabet River Survey - Suspended Solids Data	39
9	Upper Assabet River Survey - Total Solids Data	40
10	Upper Assabet River Survey - Total Kjeldahl-Nitrogen Data	41
11	Upper Assabet River Survey - Ammonia-Nitrogen Data	42
12	Upper Assabet River Survey - Nitrate-Nitrogen Data	43
13	Upper Assabet River Survey - Total Phosphorus Data	44
14	Upper Assabet River Survey - Chloride Data	45
15	Upper Assabet River Survey - Fecal Coliform Bacteria Data	46
16	Upper Assabet River Survey - Total Metals Data	47
17	Upper Assabet River Survey - Flow Data	49
18	Assabet River Survey - Composite vs. Grab Samples Compariso	n 51
19	Assabet River Survey - July 1987 - Time, Temperature Dissolved Oxygen Data	52
20	Assabet River Survey - Sept. 1987 - Tíme, Temperature, Dissolved Oxygen Data	54
21	Assabet River Survey - BOD5 Data	56
22	Assabet River Survey - July 1987 - pH Data - Field Measurements	57
23	Assabet River Survey - Sept. 1987 - pH Data - Field Measurements	58
24	Assabet River Survey - pH Data - Laboratory Measurements	59
25	Assabet River Survey - Total Alkalinity Data	60

I.

LIST OF TABLES (Continued)

TABLE	TITLE	PAG
26	Assabet River Survey - Suspended Solids Data	61
27	Assabet River Survey - Total Solids Data	62
28	Assabet River Survey - Total Hardness Data	63
29	Assabet River Survey - Total Kjeldahl-Nitrogen Data	64
30	Assabet River Survey - Ammonia-Nitrogen Data	65
31	Assabet River Survey - Nitrate-Nitrogen Data	66
32	Assabet River Survey - Total Phosphorus Data	67
33	Assabet River Survey - Chloride Data	68
34	Assabet River Survey - July 1987 - Fecal Coliform Bacteria Data	69
35	Assabet River Survey - Sept. 1987 - Fecal Coliform Bacteria Data	70
36	Assabet River Survey - Algae: Results of Microscopic Examinations	71
37	Assabet River Survey - July 1987 - Total Metals Data	72
38	Assabet River Survey - August 1987 - Total Metals Data	73
39	Assabet River Survey - Synthetic Organic Compounds - Water Column	74
40	Assabet River Survey - Acute Toxicity via Microtox	75
41	Assabet River Survey - Sediment Metals Data	76
42	Assabet River Survey - Synthetic Organic Compounds - Sediment	77
43	Assabet River Survey - Flow Data	80
44	Westborough Wastewater Treatment Plant Data - March-May 1987	82
45	Westborough Wastewater Treatment Plant Data - June-Sept. 1987	83
46	Shrewsbury Wastewater Treatment Plant Data	85
47	Marlborough West Wastewater Treatment Plant Data	86
48	Hudson Wastewater Treatment Plant Data	87
49	Maynard Wastewater Treatment Plant Data	88
50	Concord MCI Wastewater Treatment Plant Data	89

~

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE	TITLE	PAGE
1	Assabet River Basin Classification	9
2	Assabet River Elevation Profile and Location of Sampling Stations	12
3	Location of Sampling Stations	15
4	Hydrograph - July 1987	18
5	Hydrograph - August/September 1987	19
6	Dissolved Oxygen - Mathematical Model vs. Sampling Data	25
7	Five-Day Biochemical Oxygen Demand	26
8	Minimum Dissolved Oxygen	27
9	Fecal Coliform Bacteria	28
10	Total Phosphorus	29

INTRODUCTION

This report is a compilation and analysis of the results of field and laboratory examinations of the Assabet River and its wastewater discharges during the years 1986 and 1987 by the Technical Services Branch (TSB) of the Division of Water Pollution Control (DWPC).

The study had as its goal the preliminary determination of the effects on river water quality from wastewater treatment plant (WWTP) upgradings at four of the river's facilities: the Westborough, Shrewsbury, Hudson, and Maynard WWTP's. Particular attention was focused on the upper Assabet River in the vicinity of the Westborough and Shrewsbury discharges, since the most severe water quality problems on the Assabet River have, in the past, occurred in this vicinity. In addition, intensive surveys of the entire river were conducted twice during 1987 to update the Assabet River data-base as a whole. Finally, wastewater effluent discharge sampling was carried out concurrently with all water quality surveys.

Quality control measures were employed during all surveys. At the central laboratory (the Lawrence Experiment Station), precision, accuracy, and level of detection data is recorded for most parameters. During the surveys themselves, duplicate samples were collected (recognized in the data sets as station numbers having double parameter values listed) and bottles were washed and samples preserved in accordance with EPA methods.

The sampling program consisted of several phases. The upper portion of the river from the headwaters to the Marlborough/Northborough border was grab sampled for chemical and bacteriological parameters approximately monthly from November 1986 to May 1987, and twice per month from June through September 1987. The entire river was sampled intensively July 22-23, 1987 and September 1-2, 1987 at all the stations listed in Table 2. During the intensive surveys, samples were composited each day for chemical analysis by combining equal volumes of water from each run (three runs per day during July, two runs per day during September), before lab testing was done. Bacteria, volatile organic compounds, chlorine residual, temperature, and dissolved oxygen parameters, though, were always grabs. Concurrently, 24-hour composite samples from wastewater discharges were gathered during the times of all river surveys by the Compliance Monitoring Section of the DWPC.

Surficial sediment samples were collected using a Ponar dredge from eight river stations on August 5, 1987. The samples were analyzed for nutrients, metals, PCBs, chlorinated pesticides, and acid and base/neutral extractable organic compounds.

Chemical and bacteriological samples were transported to the Lawrence Experiment Station of the Department of Environmental Quality Engineering (DEQE), where they were analyzed according to procedures set forth in the most current edition of the American Public Health Association's <u>Standard Methods for the Examination</u> <u>of Water and Wastewater</u>. However, dissolved oxygen determinations were made by Technical Services Branch personnel using the Winkler Method. In addition, water temperature, chlorine residual and pH measurements were made at the time of sample collection.

River flow measurements were taken by TSB personnel during the intensive survey weeks at four locations on the Assabet River - Maynard Street, Westborough;

Boundary Street, Marlborough; Cox Street, Hudson; and Route 62 near the industrial complex, Stow. The flow was measured by taking velocity readings with a "pygmy" current meter and integrating over the river area. In addition, river flow measurements during all surveys were collected by the United States Geological Survey (USGS) at the USGS gaging station on the Assabet River in the town of Maynard. It must be noted that the USGS measurements were intended to give an indication of the flow regime of the river during the sampling, although most of the surveys did not extend into Maynard.

ISCO automatic samplers were used to obtain 24-hour composite samples from the six municipal dischargers on the river: the Westborough WWTP, the Shrewsbury WWTP, the Marlborough West WWTP, the Hudson WWTP, the Maynard WWTP, and Concord MCI WWTP. (The Shrewsbury WWTP tied into the Westborough WWTP in the spring of 1987.) A 24-hour composite sample is indicated in this report by bracketing the days over which the sample was taken, e.g., 9/1-2/87.

1985 ASSABET RIVER BASIN WATER QUALITY CLASSIFICATION*

BOUNDARY	MILE POINT	CLASSIFICATION	DESIGNATED USES	OTHER RESTRICTIONS
Source to Westborough WWTP	31.8 ~ 30.4	В	Aquatic Life Recreation (P&S)**	314 CMR 4.04(3)
Westborough STP to outlet of Boones Pond	30.4 - 12.4	В	Aquatic Life Recreation (P&S)	
Outlet of Boones Pond to con- fluence with Sudbury River	12.4 - 0.0	В	Warm Water Fishery Recreation (P&S)	
White Pond to its outlet in Stow and those tributaries thereto	~~	A	Public Water Supply	MGL., Ch. 111
Gates Pond to the intake in Berlin and those tributaries thereto		А	Public Water Supply	MGL., Ch. 111
Unnamed brook from its source to Gates Pond, Berlin		A	Public Water Supply	MGL., Ch. 111
Millham Brook Reservoir to its outlet in Marlborough and those tributaries thereto		А	Public Water Supply	MGL., Ch. 111
Lake Williams to its outlet in Marlborough and those tributaries thereto		А	Public Water Supply	MGL., Ch. 111
Cold Harbor Brook Reservoir in Shrewsbury and those tributaries thereto		А	Public Water Supply	MGL., Ch. 111
	1 1005			

Massachusetts Water Quality Standards, 1985
 ** (P & S): Primary and secondary contact recreation

TABLE I (CONTINUE))
-------------------	----

BOUNDARY	MILE POINT	CLASSIFICATION	DESIGNATED USES	OTHER RESTRICTIONS
Sandra Pond to its outlet in Westborough and those tribu- taries thereto		Α	Public Water Supply	MGL., Ch. 111
Sudbury Reservoir in Westborough, Marlborough, Southborough, Framing- ham and those tributaries thereto		А	Public Water Supply	MGL., Ch. 111
Nagog Pond to its outlet in Acton and tributaries thereto		A	Public Water Supply	MGL., Ch. 111
Other surface waters of the Assabet River Drainage Area unless otherwise denoted above		В		314 CMR 4.04(3)

PHYSICAL CHARACTERISTICS OF THE ASSABET RIVER

The Assabet River, originating in impounded swamplike land in southwestern Westborough, flows through several highly populated areas including Westborough, Northborough, Hudson, Maynard, and Concord, until it joins with the Sudbury River in Concord to form the Concord River. It currently receives major discharges from four municipal wastewater treatment plants and a state prison treatment plant.

The varying physical characteristics of the Assabet River play a critical role in the chemical and biological activities which occur in the river. The reoccurring presence of dams and the slow moving, swampy impoundments they create are vital factors in the water quality of the Assabet River. Figure 1 shows the drainage basin and the assigned water use classification (see Table 1) of the Assabet River and its tributaries. Figure 2 shows the Assabet profile, with changes in elevation, and location of dams and wastewater treatment plant discharges. In the following description, the mile point from the confluence with the Sudbury River is shown in parentheses.

The Assabet River begins at the outlet of the George H. Nichols Multiple-Purpose Dam in the southwest section of Westborough. The dam creates a small impoundment of about 0.6 sq. mi. which collects water drainage from an area of about 7 sq. mi., much of which is swampland. The dam was intended to provide fish and wildlife habitat and low flow augmentation for pollution abatement. Decaying organic matter formed by the insufficient removal of trees and roots when the area was flooded produces inferior water quality within the impoundment. In addition, proper flow regulation is absent. Water which does flow through the dam, however, is aerated, and the resulting water quality in the newly emerging Assabet is good as far as dissolved oxygen and bacteriological parameters are concerned.

After a short, fast flowing stretch, the river begins its characteristic sluggish flow. "Hocomonco Stream" joins the river just above where the first of five wastewater treatment plants discharges into the Assabet - the town of Westborough Wastewater Treatment Plant (WWTP) (30.2). Shortly downstream the Shrewsbury WWTP discharged to the river until the spring of 1987, when its flows were tied in to the Westborough WWTP. The Assabet meanders its way through swamplike lands and flows by a golf course before reaching the next impounded area and dam on Route 20 in Northborough (26.5). Soon, another relatively steep gradient causes the river to ac-celerate through a small industrial complex. Then, taking a 90° turn, the Assabet enters the "headwater" pool of the Allen Road dam impoundment (25.4). After flowing through pasture lands, the basic pattern of the river is repeated - the Marlborough West WWTP (24.1) coincides with the slowing of the river flow. The river flows through swamplands until the dam at Route 85 in Hudson (18.2). Through Hudson center the flow is constricted by industrial developments on both banks. Passing out of Hudson center the pattern is again repeated - the Hudson WWTP discharges into the Assabet just above the swampland impoundment created by the Gleasondale dam (14.4). Following a short rapid section, the river flows in its characteristic slow meandering style for 4.5 miles through the town of Stow.

Flowing over the American Woolen Dam (9.0) and into the town of Maynard, the river's gradient sharply increases and the flow is channeled through the center of Maynard. The Assabet, for the fourth time, repeats its pat-tern - flowing into the Powder Mill impoundment and receiving the discharge from the Maynard WWTP (6.3). From the Powder Mill dam to the confluence with the Sudbury River, the river's gradient is relatively uniform. The Assabet flows through West Concord receiving its final discharge from the Massachusetts Correctional Institution (MCI) at West Concord (2.4). The river slowly reaches the Sudbury River just north of the center of Concord. The confluence of the Assabet and Sudbury rivers produces one main stream - the Concord River.

1987 ASSABET RIVER BASIN SURVEYS

LOCATION OF SAMPLING STATIONS

STATION NUMBER	LOCATION	RIVER MILE
A\$01	Water Outlet, George H. Nichols Multi-Purpose Dam, Westborough	31.8
AS02	Maynard Street, Westborough	31.0
AS03 (T)	Outlet of Hocomonco Pond, Otis Street, Westborough	30.5, 0.5
AS04	Route 9, Westborough	30.1
AS05	Route 135, Westborough/Northborough Line	29.2
AS06	School Street, Northborough	28.3
AS07	Above Dam, Route 20, Northborough	26.5
AS09	Boundary Street, Northborough/Marlborough Line	24.2
AS10	Robin Hill Road, Marlborough	23.8
AS11	Bigelow Road, Berlin	22.0
AS13	Chapin Road, Hudson	19.6
AS14	Below dam, Route 85, Hudson	18.2
AS16	Cox Street, Hudson	16.2
AS17	Below dam, Route 62, Stow	14.4
AS18	Boon Road, Stow	12.1
AS19	Route 62/117, above dam, Maynard	9.0
AS 20	Routes 27/62 at USGS gage, Maynard	7.7
AS21	Above Powdermill dam, Acton	6.5
AS22	Route 62, first bridge, Concord	6.1
AS 24	Route 62, third bridge, Concord	3.3
AS25	Routes 2/2A, Concord	2.6
SU15	Sudbury River, Nashawtuc Hill Road, Concord	0.0, -0.5
C001	Concord River, Lowell Road, Concord	0.0, +0.1

• •

DATA ANALYSIS

The Assabet River has had relatively severe water quality problems in the recent past, mostly as a result of wastewater discharges from the six municipal WWTP's lining its banks. Today, the river still only partially meets its Class B Water Quality Classification. Past sampling programs in 1965, 1969, 1974, and 1985 all documented significant dissolved oxygen deficits and excessive fecal coliform bacteria counts. Data from the latest survey in 1987, the subject of this report, shows that the river has improved considerably in these respects. However, significant portions of the river still support dense populations of algae and macrophytes during the summer months. Decay of this excess vegetation in many slow moving parts of the Assabet River can cause local odor problems.

The studies done in 1987 had, as their goal, the preliminary determination of the effects on river water quality from recent WWTP upgradings at four of the river's facilities: the Westborough, Shrewsbury, Hudson, and Maynard WWTP's. Accordingly, the interaction of these discharges with river hydrology and chemistry will be explored in this report. Particular attention was focused on the Upper Assabet River in the vicinity of the Westborough and Shrewsbury WWTP discharges, since the most severe water quality problems on the Assabet have occurred in this vicinity.

For analytical purposes, this data analysis will be discussed in three sections - wastewater discharges, conventional pollutants in-stream, and toxic pollutants in-stream.

For proper interpretation of water quality data, hydrographs of Assabet River flows as measured at the Maynard U.S.G.S. gage are presented in Figures 4 and 5 for the intensive July and September 1987 surveys. Tables <u>17</u> and <u>43</u> present flow data for the smaller and past years' surveys. Ideally, river flows should be similar for direct comparison of parameters such as BOD and nutrients across months or years.

The conventional pollutant chemical and biological parameters of principal interest which will be examined as to source and effect are dissolved oxygen, five-day biochemical oxygen demand, ammonia-nitrogen, phosphorus, and fecal coliform bacteria. The water quality problems which occur, and which have occurred over ten years, are exacerbated in the summer, when river flows are at a minimum (yielding minimum dilution), and decay and vegetative growth processes are at a maximum.

The toxic pollutant problems which occur in the Assabet River are more elusive to quantify. However, the data which has been gathered will be discussed according to best professional judgment.



(eta) wolf

FIGURE 4

WASTEWATER DISCHARGES

The wastewater discharges on the Assabet River during 1987 are the six municipal sewage treatment plants, the Westborough, Shrewsbury, Marlborough West, Hudson, and Maynard WWTP's, as well as a plant that serves the Massachusetts Correctional Institute at Concord (see Figure <u>2</u>). Major upgradings were on-line at four of these facilities for the 1987 surveys: the Westborough-Shrewsbury, Hudson, and Maynard WWTPs. Upgradings at the remaining two are also in the planning stage. Thus, the summer of 1987 was an opportune time to first document the effects of major improvements in effluent quality on Assabet River water quality.

The Compliance Monitoring Section of the Division of Water Pollution Control (DWPC) surveyed all of these WWTPs concurrently with the 1987 river water quality surveys. Laboratory analyses of these discharges appear in Tables 44 to 50. The following section is a brief description of each discharge and its effect on the Assabet River.

Westborough WWTP

During the late spring of 1987 the new \$29 million Westborough Regional WWTP, built to replace both the Shrewsbury and older Westborough WWTPs, and located adjacent to the former Westborough plant, came fully on-line. The Westborough Regional WWTP is the most upstream discharge on the Assabet River, very near the headwaters. It serves the communities of Westborough and Shrewsbury, as well as a small section of Hopkinton. Since the most severe water quality problems on the Assabet River have, in the past, occurred in the Westborough/ Northborough vicinity, the new plant was of vital importance to the area.

The facility is an advanced secondary treatment plant with ammonia oxidation capability. It employs a multi-channel oxidation system where wastewater is aerated through three concentric channels to achieve biological treatment. The effluent passes through sand filters before chlorination. Extra oxygen is added to the effluent while it cascades down a channel before release to the Assabet River. The plant was designed to produce a high quality effluent, as evinced by its National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) permit limits of flow: 7.68 MGD; summer daily maximum BOD₅, 15 mg/l; dissolved oxygen, 6 mg/l; and ammonia, 1.5 mg/l. However, since coming on-line the plant's flows have been below design limits, and so it has frequently produced effluents of much better quality than its NPDES permit requires. Sludge will be disposed of via composting.

The initial effect on the Assabet River of replacing the older Westborough and Shrewsbury WWTP's with the new advanced secondary Westborough Regional WWTP has been remarkable. Within a few months, and under worst case stream dilution (i.e., extreme summer low stream flows), the dissolved oxygen in the Assabet increased to the Class B water quality standard, and other parameters such as fecal coliform bacteria and solids decreased quickly and drastically. Thus, due to the improvement in effluent quality, the Assabet River seems well on its way to recovery as far as many important measurements of pollution are concerned.

Shrewsbury WWTP

The Shrewsbury WWTP tied its flows into the new Westborough Regional WWTP in early June 1987. (For further information, refer to the Westborough WWTP sec-

tion.) Prior to this, the Shrewsbury plant was performing very poorly. NPDES permit violations were noted in BOD₅, flow suspended solids, and fecal coliform bacteria.

Marlborough West WWTP

About six miles downstream from the Westborough WWTP, the Marlborough West WWTP discharges about 1.9 MGD into the Assabet River. The industrial input to the plant explains the high nickel concentration in the effluent during the monitoring of the plant during both 1985 and 1987. Planning is well underway for upgrading the plant to accomodate increased flows and to provide ammonia oxidation. Expected completion is in 1989. High nutrients and accompanying excessive algae populations probably will continue, though, downstream of the upgraded facility.

Hudson WWTP

At river mile point 16.0, effluent from the newly upgraded Hudson WWTP, with a design flow of 2.63 MGD, enters the Assabet River. The upgraded plant is advanced secondary and includes ammonia oxidation and post aeration. Sludge has been disposed of on-site, but plans are underway to use a new location.

Improvements in water quality, especially dissolved oxygen, have occurred downstream, but further monitoring will be necessary to confirm that these are permanent improvements and a result of the Hudson WWTP upgrade. However, due to excessive nutrients, many of the slow moving parts of the river from here on support superabundant algae populations.

Maynard WWTP

Effluent from the newly upgraded Maynard WWTP, with a design flow of 1.43 MGD, enters the Assabet River at mile point 6.8. The plant has remained secondary, but now includes an innovative technology - rotating biological contactors, followed by post aeration. The plant performed very well during monitoring in 1987. However, high nutrients and consequent excessive algae populations are expected to continue in the river in the vicinity of the discharge.

Concord MCI WWTP

The Concord Correctional Institute WWTP (mile point 2.4) is a small discharge to the Assabet River with a design capacity of 0.162 MGD and an average flow which is usually substantially higher. In addition, the prison has plans to expand by several hundred beds; thus, a WWTP upgrade will be needed.

The MA Division of Capital Planning has approved a study to evaluate short and long term upgrading alternatives for the facility. Short term priorities are to bring down the sludge inventory and improve the final sand filtration process. The latter should bring some immediate improvement in effluent quality. Long term, a new sludge handling system is needed; composting is being considered.

Although the discharge frequently violates its NPDES permit limits, its impact on the Assabet River is low, since the ratio of WWTP flow to river flow in Concord is small.

CONVENTIONAL POLLUTANTS

The "conventional pollutants" of concern for the Assabet River are fecal coliform bacteria, biochemical oxygen demand, solids, and the various nutrient parameters in the form of dissolved nitrogen and phosphorus compounds. These parameters are considered "conventional" (as opposed to "toxic") in that they have, until the last several years, received most of the attention of water quality planners. For analytic purposes, the river will be divided into two segments - upper and lower.

Upper Assabet River

The upper segment, where extensive water quality data were collected in 1987, extends from the Assabet headwaters in Westborough, to Boundary Street, Marlborough. The actual data is compiled in the "Upper Assabet Water Quality Data" section starting on Page <u>32</u>. Surveys were conducted in this area once per month through June 1987, whereupon they were conducted twice per month through September, 1987.

Water quality problems in this segment were due primarily to the Westborough and Shrewsbury WWTP discharges and were the most severe in the Assabet River. However, rapid improvements in water quality began to occur with the opening of the new Westborough Regional WWTP.

Prior to the opening of the new Westborough Regional WWTP, though, the upper Assabet River had been the focus of considerable public controversy and odor complaints over several years. The most severe odor problems along the river, in Northborough, were caused by sewage inputs high in BOD. These created very low dissolved oxygen conditions, i.e., anaerobic in-stream conditions, and anaerobically decaying organic sediments in and bordering the river. In order to respond to the public anxiety, the Technical Services Branch (TSB) instituted a project to study the odor problem in-depth and recommend possible short term. solutions.

The final report on the Upper Assabet River odor problem and possible short term solutions was published by TSB, Westborough, in December, 1986.¹ It outlined the issues and technical complexities involved in physical alteration of stream conditions, i.e., dredging, liming the river banks, in-stream aeration, and hydrogen peroxide addition. In addition, it presented water quality predictions from mathematical stream modeling of dissolved oxygen for various possible scenarios. The conclusion of the study was that the short term solutions were very expensive and experimental in nature.

The mathematical modeling predicted greatly improved river conditions once the new Westborough WWTP came on line. Thus, the short term "remedial" measures were judged unnecessary.

¹ Internal Memo. Nora Hanley. "Assabet River - Mathematical Modeling and Odor Reduction Options." DEQE-DWPC-TSB, December 1986. These suppositions were proven correct once the new Westborough WWTP was operational. As a matter of fact, dissolved oxygen levels in the river were even higher and rose more quickly than predicted. The projected (via mathematical modeling) and actual oxygen levels in-stream are presented graphically in Figure 6. Odor problems due to low dissolved oxygen along the Assabet River were minimal to non-existent during the summer of 1987, as predicted.

Examining the upper Assabet River survey data more closely, we also see that BOD5 values have greatly decreased during September 1987 as compared to 1985 and even 1979 values. This is depicted graphically in Figure 7 for river miles 32 through 24. The steep decrease is directly attributable to improved wastewater treatment at the new Westborough facility. In turn, this sharp BOD5 decrease has lead directly to the sharp dissolved oxygen increase described above.

Also of note are the improved fecal coliform bacteria levels in the upper Assabet River as pictured in Figure 9. Steep declines in bacteria densities have occurred since 1985, so that presently the levels are within the Class B water quality standard of 200 organisms/100 ml. Again, these declines are directly attributable to the new Westborough WWTP, where an improved chlorination system and reduced solids loadings in the effluent have allowed for improved disinfection.

Lastly however, as pictured in Figure 10, phosphorus levels in-stream in the upper Assabet (river miles 32 to 24) have not declined. This is reasonable in that the new Westborough WWTP does not have phosphorus removal capabilities; so, phosphorus loading to the upper Assabet is approximately the same as in previous years (but will increase with increased flows). In addition, phosphorus can settle in sediments and later be resuspended and recycled, as opposed to remaining dissolved and being flushed from the upper Assabet. The phosphorus levels in the upper river are very high, and are capable of fostering nuisance algae and weed growth in slow moving sections of the river.

Lower Assabet River

The lower Assabet River, from AS10 through the confluence with the Sudbury River, is beset with similar problems to those described on the upper Assabet but in less severe form. These problems range from occasional dissolved oxygen and fecal coliform standard violations to high nutrient levels. The entire Assabet River was intensively surveyed during the weeks of July 22 and September 1, 1987.

Dissolved oxygen during these times was, at some places, below the 5 mg/l standard for a Class B river, as can be seen in Figure 8. Generally, the reasons for this, as before, are due to the nature of the Assabet, a river which flows slowly through swampy areas with little aeration, as well as to WWTP's effluents and in-place sediments creating an oxygen demand. Since the 1985 water quality survey, dissolved oxygen has increased in the river downstream of the newly upgraded Hudson WWTP, located around river mile 16, as shown in Figure 8. In addition, BOD₅ values in-stream, as seen in Figure 7, have dropped throughout the Assabet River since 1985. These are positive signs and may be indications of the effect of improved treatment at the newly upgraded Hudson and Maynard WWTP's.

Nutrients, including ammonia, nitrates, and phosphorus, now present in river sediment, and ultimately of treatment plant origin, continue to be present at very high levels in this river segment, as well as in the upper river segment. This leads to prolific aquatic weed and algae growth in slow moving parts of the river. As a matter of fact, as shown in Figure 10, phosphorus levels in the upper Assabet have actually increased with time. This is reasonable in that none of the upstream WWTP's have phosphorus removal capabilities; so, phosphorus loading to the river is approximately the same as in previous years, and may increase with increased WWTP flows. The fact that phosphorus seems to have increased in-stream since 1979 may point to phosphorus recycling from the sediments. Much of the consequent algae growth is abundant enough, such as near AS14, AS19, and AS21, so as to potentially cause odor problems during the summer as the plants die and decay.



Dissolved Oxygen (mg/l)







(l\gm) negyző bevlessiű

FIGURE 8

דר





FIGURE 9

28



(I\gm) eurodeodd (ng/I)

FIGURE 10

29

TOXIC POLLUTANTS

The term "toxic pollutants" encompasses a wide range of literally thousands of substances ranging from metals to synthetic organic compounds. Surveys conducted during 1987 were designed to obtain baseline data on the prevalence of toxic substances in the sediments and water column of the Assabet River, and to provide preliminary toxicity testing.

Heavy metals were tested at selected times in the river water column (see Tables 16, 37 and 38), and in the sediment (see Table 41).

The US EPA recommends that water column metals be evaluated applying total recoverable metals to their water quality criteria in the absence of standard methods for acid-soluble metals analyses. Using total recoverable metals as a standard would tend to be, if anything, over-protective of aquatic life. The toxicity of most metals tested for in these surveys is highly dependent on hardness. The equation used to evaluate each metal is given in Appendix <u>A</u> using an average hardness of 50 mg/1 as CaCO₃. The resulting criteria for the Assabet River are given in Appendix B.

Copper, lead, and mercury concentrations in the water column at some stations exceeded the criteria for four-day average concentrations. Mercury and lead appeared mainly in the lower river. In addition, the four-day average criteria for nickel was exceeded at Station AS10, below the Marlborough West WWTP. Only copper at some stations exceeded the one-hour average criterion.

The laboratory levels of detection for cadmium and mercury, though, are higher than US EPA in-stream criteria; thus, it cannot be predicted from these data the extent to which these metals could, theoretically, pose problems in-stream at many places on the Assabet River.

In general, metals levels from the Assabet WWTP effluents seemed typical for similar plants throughout the state. Copper was found at fairly high levels in all effluents. The probable source of the WWTP copper is the drinking water used by municipalities, where copper piping is typically used. Finally, nickel was found in high concentrations in the Marlborough West WWTP effluent, which caused fairly high in-stream nickel concentrations both in 1987 and 1985.

As for levels of metals in sediments, since no "standards" exist by which to judge sediment quality for toxics, we will instead compare Assabet sediment metals concentrations to standards applied to sludge for land application which are listed in Appendix <u>C</u>. On this basis, the Assabet sediments generally have metals levels below even Class I (least contaminated) sludges, with some exceptions. Lead, at South Street in Hudson, and nickel at AS21 in Acton exceeded Class I limits.

Testing for the multiplicity of synthetic organic compounds was limited to those we might reasonably expect to be present in a given media. For example, PCBs would most likely be detected only in the sediments, and not in the water column, and so they were tested for only in the sediment.

Table <u>39</u> lists the synthetic organic compounds found in the Assabet River water column in 1987. In general, the concentrations of these substances were very low, but many of them have no EPA in-stream water quality criteria for com-

parison. Station ASO3T, which had a number of organics present, is located in a small tributary coming from Hocomonco Pond in Westborough, a Superfund Site contaminated chiefly with creosote. Halomethanes such as chloroform and bromodichloromethane are likely to be products of the chlorination disinfection processes at WWTP's. They have a low vapor pressure, and so should not be persistent in the water column in high levels. The presence of isocyanatobenzene at several stations is unexplained.

Table 42 lists synthetic organic compounds found in the Assabet River sediments in 1987. Again, levels of the sediment synthetic organics was generally low. The South Street extension, Hudson sampling station, though, had considerable concentrations of many polynuclear aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs). The river bottom near this point appeared to be covered in discarded shoe leather. At AS21 in Acton, many of these substances are also found, but in lower levels. PCBs were detected at several stations, but at relatively low levels.

A limited amount of toxicity testing via Microtox[™] (see Page <u>94</u> for a discussion of Microtox) was conducted on three dechlorinated Assabet WWTP effluents and on river station samples as listed in Table 40. Results downstream of the Marlborough West WWTP (AS10) indicate some in-stream toxic effects as a result of the treatment plant. Further toxicity testing at the WWTP and AS10 is warranted. Toxicity testing will be required in the newly drafted NPDES permit for Marlborough West. No other problems with toxicity were detected in-stream or at the WWTP's with the Microtox tests.

Overall, the potential for toxic effects exists at some places in the Assabet, but actual effects are unknown, and possibly still masked by years of more conventionally recognized pollution problems such as low dissolved oxygen. For example, resident fish populations in areas of the Assabet may be low due to many years of inadequate oxygen in-stream. The effects of toxic substances in Assabet River sediments is, as yet, unknown. However, the PAH concentrations in the sediments found near the South Street extension in Hudson appear particularly high. As the body of knowledge and guidance by agencies such DEQE and US EPA increases concerning toxic substances in the environment, further evaluation of the Assabet will be possible.

UPPER ASSABET RIVER

WATER QUALITY SAMPLING DATA

1987 UPPER ASSABET RIVER SURVEY

Time (hr/min) - TEMPERATURE (°F) - DISSOLVED OXYGEN (mg/l)

		1986					1987								
STATION NUMBER		11/11	2/18	3/17	4/16	5/13	6/10	6/24	7/22	7/23	8/5	8/20	9/1	9/2	9/23
AS01	* **	935 40	945 37	1000 36	1014 46	950 56	934 67	926 70	957 77	1018 82		 			0922 59
	***	11.0	9.4	9.7	10.4	9.1	7.5	6.7	6.4	7.3	†	†			8.7
AS02		 		 			 	942 57 8.2	1012 68 8.2	957 68 7.0	900 67 8.2	920 68 7.4	0445 64 5.7	0450 59 7.6	0933 57 9.6
AS04		950 40 10.1	1009 35 8.6	1017 36 10.7	1036 45 9.6	1001 56 7.9	943 64 6.0	 	 	 	 	 	 		
AS05		1010 40 9.1	1028 35 9.8	1051 36 9.8	1040 45 8.3	1016 54 6.2	956 63 4.8	1005 62 4.8	1023 68 3.2	1048 75 5.3	910 73 5.5	937 68 4.6	0510 66 5,9	0510 64 6.0	0945 57 8.3
AS06		1025 41 9.2	1047 33 9.3	1115 36 10.3	1051 45 7.4	1027 54 5.9	1010 62 3.6	1026 61 2.8	1035 68 2.8	1104 69 8.0	923 67 5.4	950 68 4.4	0520 66 6.0	0520 64 5.9	0956 59 7.8

* Time

** Temperature

*** Dissolved Oxygen

t No river flow

	1986		1987											
STATION NUMBER	<u>11/11</u>	2/18	3/17	4/16	5/13	6/10	6/24	7/22	7/23	8/5	8/20	9/1	9/2	9/23
AS07A	1035	†	1200	1110	1039	1021	1046	1048	1117	933	1000	0545	0540	1005
	42		37	44	55	65	60	70	74	76	72	66	64	59
	7.0		8.5	6.3	3.9	2.0	1.8	2.2	2.7	4.1	4.2	4,8	4.6	7.3
AS07B	1145 42 8.5	1106 34 9.6	1200 37 10.9	1120 44 8.9	1039 55 7.7	1035 64 6.1	1055 60 6.3		1120 74 7.8	933 72 7.2	1006 72 6.5	0545 66 7.1	0540 66 6.9	1013 59 9.3
AS09	1100	1115	1209	1133	1100	1051	1105	1110	1133	948	1017	0620	0550	1022
	40	33	36	45	55	66	62	70	72	74	72	64	64	59
	9.0	10.5	11.5	8.1	7.8	6.4	7.7	8.8	8.8	7.6	6.5	5.5	5.2	8.4
AS10	1115	1123	1215	1116	1110	1058	1116	1122	1150	1000	1025	0630	0555	1033
	40	34	36	46	55	65	62	72	76	69	72	66	66	59
	9.0	10.0	11.1	7.4	7.7	5.0	5.6	3.3	3.6	3.5	2.4	3.0	3.3	6.5

t Not accessible due to snow and ice

5

1987 UPPER ASSABET RIVER SURVEY

FIVE-DAY BIOCHEMICAL OXYGEN DEMAND DATA (mg/1)

STATION NUMBER	2/18	3/17	4/7*	4/16	5/13	7/22	7/23	8/5	8/20	9/1**	9/2**	9/23
AS01	1.2	4.2	2.7	3.0	3.0	6.9	6.0	5.7				4.2
AS02						6.6	3.6	2.1	3.3	2.4	1.8	2.1
AS04	3.3	6.0		4.2	7.8							
AS05	23	14	3.0	8.7	9.6	6.3	3.6	2.7	3.0	2.1	2.1	1.2
AS06	10	9.9		***	6.9	6.4	3.3	2.7	3.3	2.4	1.8	1.5
AS07	15	9.0	2.1	3.6	5.4	6.3	3.3	2.7	0.9	2.4	1.5	2.7
AS09	5.1	6.0		2.4	3.9	4.8	1.8	1.2	1.5	1.8	1.8	1.2
AS10	3.6	6.0	2.7	2.4	1.2	9.0	4.2	4.2	6.2	3.9	3.3	1.8

* Sampled after very heavy rains and flooding conditions

****** Composite sample

*** Broken bottle

1987 UPPER ASSABET RIVER SURVEY

pH DATA (Standard Units)

STATION NUMBER	2/18	3/17	4/7*	4/16	5/13	7/22	7/23	8/5	8/20	6/1**	9/2**	9/23
AS01	6.5	ł	6.2	6.9		7.1	7.0	7.2	ł	ł	ł	6.9
AS02	ł	ł	ł	ł	1	7.7	7.5	7.5	7.6	7.6	7.4	7.4
AS04	7.0	1		6.8	3.4	1	ł	1	1	-	1	1
AS05	7.3	1	5.8	6.8		7.2	7.0	6.9	7.3	7.1	7.1	7.4
AS06	6.8	1	1	***	I	7.0	7.0	6.9	7.1	7.1	7.0	7.4
AS07	6.9	1	5.9	6.7	1	7.0	6.9	6.9	7.0	7.2	6.9	7.4
AS09	6.9	4	-	6.6		7.2	7.1	7.0	7.1	7.3	7.1	7.3
AS10	6.8	1	5.9	6.6		7.0	6.9	6.9	6.9	7.1	7.0	7.3

^{*} Sampled after very heavy rains and flooding conditions** Composite sample

*** Broken bottle

1987 UPPER ASSABET RIVER SURVEY

TOTAL ALKALINITY DATA (mg/1)

9/2**	9/23	
	10	
	19	
34	20	
29	26	
27	28	
26	29	
26	20	
31	25	
	34 29 27 26 26 31	34 20 29 26 27 28 26 29 26 20 31 25

* Sampled after very heavy rains and flooding conditions

** Composite sample
*** Broken bottle

1987 UPPER ASSABET RIVER SURVEY

TOTAL	HARDNESS	DATA	(mg/1)	

STATION NUMBER	2/18	3/17	4/16	5/13	7/22	7/23	8/5	8/20	9/1*	9/2*	9/23
AS01	24	32	18	75	39	35	24				17
AS02					53	47	62	37	37	39	16
AS04	29	29	19	31							
AS05	49	23	24	39	67	62	58	62	49	49	29
AS06	52	31	23	34	67	57	54	64	49	39	
AS07	48	15	23	34	64	57	68	62	48	49	25
AS09	42	14	20	34	57	57	56	61	44	45	24
AS10	37	16	25	39	56	51	63	57	44	43	24

* Composite sample

د ر

1987 UPPER ASSABET RIVER SURVEY

STATION NUMBER	2/18	3/17	4/7*	4/16	5 <u>/1</u> 3	7/22	7/23	8/5	8/20	9/1**	9/2**	9/23
AS01	0.5	2.5	0.0	6.0	6.0	8.0	20	12			-	8,5
AS02						4.0	3.5	6.0	0.0	5.0	2.0	9.0
AS04	8.5	6.5		5.0	7.0							
AS05	27	25	0.0	12.0	21.0	3.5	2.5	2.5	2.5	3.0	3.5	2.5
AS06	20	11		***	7.0	3.0	2.0	2.5	1.5	5.0	2.0	3.5
AS07	11	5.0	0.0	6.0	14.0	1.0	2.0	1.5	0.0	2.5	0.0	1.5
AS09	3.0	5.0		6.0	21.0	1.5	0.5	1.5	0.5	2.5	0.5	3.5
AS10	4.5	5.0	0.0	6.0	19.0	2.0	2.5	2.5	9.0	2.5	1.5	4.5

SUSPENDED SOLIDS DATA (mg/1)

* Sampled after very heavy rains and flooding conditions
** Composite sample

*** Broken bottle
1987 UPPER ASSABET RIVER SURVEY

TOTAL SOLIDS DATA (mg/l)

STATION NUMBER	2/18	3/17	4/7*	4/16	5/13	7/22	7/23	8/5	8/20	9/1**	9/2**	9/23
AS01	100	160	84	100	92	94	120	94				100
AS02						130	150	150	110	140	170	110
AS04	180	200		150	140							
AS05	280	230	90	140	240	330	330	270	330	320	340	190
AS06	220	230		***	160	310	330	290	350	270	300	200
AS07	240	200	98	160	160	280	310	290	300	270	290	210
AS09	180	200		140	160	210	250	240	270	220	230	190
AS10	200	210	100	140	170	230	270	260	290	250	280	200

* Sampled after very heavy rains and flooding conditions

** Composite sample

*** Broken bottle

1987 UPPER ASSABET RIVER SURVEY

STATION NUMBER	2/18	3/17	4/16	5/13_	7/22	7/23	8/5	8/20	9/1*	9/2*	9/23	
AS01	1.2	0.65	1.4	0.79	0.89	1.3	1.9				1,1	
AS02					0.55	0.93	1.4	0.80	1.3	1.5	0.94	
AS04	4.2	1.7	1.4	2.5								
AS05	4.4	3.2	1.9	3.8	2.0	2.2	1.9	0.82	1.1	1.7	0.80	
AS06	2.6	1.9	1.8	1.9	2.1	2.4	2.0	0,90	1.1	1.4	0.90	
AS07	4.0	1.5	1.0	2.2	1.8	2.2	2.0	1.0	0.80	1.0	0.78	
AS09	2.7	1.1	0.62	1.9	1.8	1.0	1.2	0,59	0.72	0.90	0.64	
AS10	3.6	1.4	0.68	2.8	2.4	2.3	2.4	1.4	2,9	2.2	1.4	

TOTAL KJELDAHL-NITROGEN DATA (mg/1)

1987 UPPER ASSABET RIVER SURVEY

STATION NUMBER	2/18	3/17	4/16	5/13	7/22	7/23	8/5	8/20	9/1*	9/2*	9/23
AS01	0.21	0,15	0.06	0.09	0.06	0.06	0.02				0.10
AS02					0.55	0.05	0.1	<0.02	0.05	0.02	0.05
AS04	4.1	1.0	0.17	2.1							
AS05	3.8	1,4	0.45	2.3	0.57	0.42	0.20	0.15	0.10	0.10	0.07
AS06	2.5	1.0	0.38	1.3	2.1	0.68	0.30	0.23	0.15	0.14	0.11
AS07	3.7	0.85	0.41	1.7	1.8	0.89	0.32	0.30	0.13	0.13	0.11
AS09	2.5	0.58	0.20	1.0	1.8	0.06	0.04	0.02	0.04	0.03	0.06
AS10	3.1	0,80	0.21	1.2	1.6	0.10	1.4	0.52	1.4	1.2	0.53

1987 UPPER ASSABET RIVER SURVEY

NITRATE-NITROGEN	DATA	(mg/1)
NTIGHTE NTIGOEN	D.t3 T L7	<u><u> </u></u>

STATION NUMBER	2/18	3/17	4/16	5/13	7/22	7/23	8/5	8/20	9/1*	9/2*	9/23	
_												
AS01	0.5	0.7	0.7	0.8	0.2	0.1	0.1				0.1	
AS02					0.8	0.8	1.0	<0.1	0.5	0.5	0.2	
AS04	0.4	0.7	0.6	0.3								
AS05	0.6	0.9	0.7	0.4	0.8	10.0	9.2	2.3	11	9.6	1.4	
AS06	0.8	0.8	0.7	0.5	1.0	8.1	10	2.5	8.4	7.8	1.5	
AS07	0.7	0.9	0.8	0.5	1.2	8.4	11	2.2	7.2	7.3	2.1	
AS09	0.7	0.8	0.6	0.7	1.2	6.9	6.4	1.8	4.1	4.9	1.6	
AS10	0.9	0.8	0.6	0.7	5.4	2.8	4.0	1.5	3.4	3.7	1.5	

1987 UPPER ASSABET RIVER SURVEY

TOTAL PHOSPHORUS DATA (mg/1)

STATION NUMBER	2/18	3/17	4/16	5/13	7/22	7/23	8/5	8/20	9/1*	9/2*	9/23	
4501	0.05	0 04	0.08	0.06	0.05	0.08	0.28				0.08	
AS02					0.04	0.07	0.26	0.04	0.50	0.07	0.09	
AS04	0.43	0.22	0.13	0.54								
AS05	2.0	0.80	0.27	0.75	3.8	3.3	2.3	3.5	3.3	2.1	0.57	
AS06	2.5	0.58	0.30	0.44	3.8	3.2	2.6	4.6	3.1	1.9	0.79	
AS07	3.0	0.49	0.32	3.04	3.0	3.2	2.5	3.4	2.9	1.6	0.80	
AS09	0.83	0.36	0.22	0.44	1.0	2.0	1.8	2.5	1.5	1.5	0.50	
AS10	1.5	0.34	0.25	2.29	2.2	2.7	2.8	2.1	4.4	2.0	0.58	

1987 UPPER ASSABET RIVER SURVEY

CHLORIDE DATA (mg/1)

STATION NUMBER	2/18	3/17	5/13	7/22	7/23	9/1*	9/2*	9/23	
AS01	22	28	20	25	25			21	
AS02				35	34	30	30	23	
AS04	44	39	33						
AS05	85	50	50	97	87	75	84	46	
AS06	62	50	40	89	87	69	75	46	
AS07	67	47	39	75	86	65	70	50	
AS09	50	44	36	64	67	58	60	40	
AS10	60	50	41	71	74	68	71	43	

1987 UPPER ASSABET RIVER SURVEY

FECAL COLIFORM BACTERIA DATA (organisms/100 ml)

STATION NUMBER	2/18	3/17	4/8	4/16	5/13	7/22	7/23	8/5	8/20	9/1	9/2	9/23
AS01	<5	<5		<5	<5	<5	<5			-		<10
AS02						440	580	900	16	1300	*	120
AS04	<5	20		<5	<5			-			*	
AS05	1,200	10,000	2,600	1,600	10,000	180	280	300	440	360	240	190
AS06	160	5,600		450	6,000	260	120	420	600	320	400	130
AS07	1,900	2,800	1,600	30	6,000	200	440	340	80		100	140
AS09	200	1,800		300	2,000	40	120	160	120	160	140	1,000
AS10	<5	500	440	1,200	<20	<5	1,200	<5	100	5	200	10

* Broken bottle

1987 UPPER ASSABET RIVER SURVEY

TOTAL METALS DATA (mg/1)

STATION NUMBER	Al	Cđ	Cr	Cu	Fe	Hg	Mn	Ni	Рb	Zn
<u> </u>					2-18-8	7				
					2-10-0	<u>/</u>				
AS05	0.24	0.02	<0.03	<0.02	0.60	<0.0002	0.14	<0.03	<0.05	0.10
AS07	0.19	<0.02	<0.03	<0.02	0.52	<0.0002	0.13	<0.03	<0.05	0.08
					6-24-	87				
AS02	<0.10	0.01	<0.02	<0.02	0.59	-	-	<0.03	<0.05	0.18
AS05	<0.10	<0.01	<0.02	<0.02	0.61	-	-	<0.03	<0.05	0.07
AS06	<0.10	<0,01	<0.02	<0.02	0.56	-	_	<0.03	<0.05	0.09
AS07	<0.10	<0.01	<0.02	<0.02	0.59	-	-	<0.03	<0.05	0.07
AS09	<0.10	<0.01	<0.02	<0.02	0.77	-	-	<0.03	<0.05	0.04
AS10	<0.10	<0.01	<0.02	<0.02	0.60	-	-	0.07	<0.05	0.05
					<u>7-2</u> 2-	87				
AS02	<0.10	<0.001	<0.002	<0.002	0.40	0.0006	0.12	<0.015	<0.002	0.007
AS05	0.10	<0.001	<0.002	0.03	0.22	0.0007	0.10	<0.015	<0.002	0.06
AS06	0.12	<0.001	<0.002	0.02	0.19	0.0005	0.07	<0.015	<0.002	0.05
AS07	<0.10	<0.001	0.003	0.02	0.18	0,0003	0.21	<0.015	<0.002	0.05
AS09	<0.10	<0.001	<0.002	0.006	0.30	<0.0002	0.02	0.015	<0.002	0.006
AS10	<0.10	<0.001	<0.002	0.007	0.31	<0.0002	0.08	0.015	<0.002	0.03
					9-1-8	7				
AS02	0,38	0.001	0.004	0.004	0.84	<0.0002	0.25	0.014	0.006	0.02
AS05	0.17	0.006	0.006	0.032	0.20	<0.0002	0.05	0.007	0.008	0.03
AS06	0.13	0.003	0.007	0.028	0.28	<0.0002	0.11	0.012	0.01	0.04
AS07	0.14	0.022	0.007	0.021	0.20	<0.0002	0.04	0.007	0.007	0.11
AS09	<0.10	<0.001	0.005	0.007	0.22	<0.0002	0.04	0.006	0.006	0.02
AS10	<0.10	<0.001	0.009	0.009	0.27	<0.0002	0.04	0.13	0.006	0.02

UPPER ASSABET RIVER BASIN

FLOW DATA

1987 UPPER ASSABET RIVER SURVEY

U.S.G.S. Gage at Maynard

Flow Data

 DATE	DISCHARGE	(cfs)
2/18/87	123	
3/17/87	306	
4/16/87	667	
5/13/87	239	
6/10/87	83	
6/24/87	70	
7/22/87	28	
7/23/87	28	
8/5/87	31	
8/20/87	18	
9/1/87	43	
9/2/87	26	
9/23/87	151	
11/11/86	131	
7/17/85	41	
8/8/79	34	
8/9/79	27	

1. 1987 figures are provisional measurements made by U.S.G.S. at their automated gaging station.

ASSABET RIVER

WATER QUALITY SAMPLING DATA

1987 ASSABET RIVER SURVEY

COMPOSITE VS. GRAB SAMPLE COMPARISON (units of mg/l unless otherwise noted)

DATE	STATION	COMPOSITE/GRAB	COMPOSITE/GRAB	COMPOSITE/GRAB
		BOD5	pH (St. units)	ALKALINITY
7/22/87	AS 09	6.6/4.8	7.2/7.3	31/30
	AS10	9.0/14	7.0/7.2	42/44
	AS11	4.8/6.0	7.0/7.0	30/28
	AS13	6.0/5.1	7.1/7.1	31/31
	AS14	5.4/6.0	7.3/7.5	35/32
		HARDNESS	SUSP. SOLIDS	TOTAL SOLIDS
7/22/87	AS 09	79/55	1.5/2.0	230/210
	AS10	56/59	2.0/3.5	230/240
	AS11	44/44	1.0/1.0	220/210
	AS13	46/44	1.5/1.5	220/210
	AS14	59/54	2.0/4.5	210/210
		TKN	<u>NH3-N</u>	T. PHOSPHORUS
7/22/87	AS09	0.95/1.1	0.95/1.0	1.8/1.8
	AS10	2.4/2.2	1.6/1.8	2.2/2.0
	AS11	1.4/0.72	0.28/0.04	1.7/1.6
	AS13	1.2/1.6	0.10/0.09	0.94/1.3
	AS14	0.90/1.1	0.21/0.10	0.80/0.78
		BOD5	pH (St. units)	ALKALINITY
7/23/87	AS17	3.0/2.4	7.0/7.0	30/30
	AS18	2.7/2.7	7.1/7.1	34/30
	AS19	3.0/3.0	7.2/7.2	33/34
	AS 20	2.4/3.6	7.2/7.2	31/33
		HARDNESS	SUSP. SOLIDS	TOTAL SOLIDS
7/23/87	AS17	51/59	1.0/0.5	260/250
	AS18	50/52	9.0/1.5	220/230
	AS19	49/52	3.5/2.0	200/210
	AS20	44/47	4.5/1.0	180/190
		TKN	<u>NH3-N</u>	T. PHOSPHORUS
7/23/87	AS17	0.80/1.2	0.06/0.13	1.0/1.0
	AS18	0.80/0.69	0.09/0.05	1.0/0.97
	AS19	0.79/0.64	0.05/0.02	0.73/0.71
	AS 20	0.99/0.69	0.04/0.07	0.62/0.56

1987 ASSABET RIVER SURVEY

		(,					-6, -,	
STATION		.1	ULY 22			III.Y 23		
NUMBER	- 	RUN 1	RUN 2	run 3	RUN 4	RUN 5	RUN 6	
	*	0400	1012	1934	0415	957	1949	
	**	64	68	73	65	68	77	
	***	7.5	8.2	7.1	6.6	7.0	6.9	
AS05		0415	1023	1946	0430	1048	1959	
		75	68	75	66	75	79	
		3.7	3.2	5.8	3.9	5.3	5.9	
AS06		0430	1035	2000	0445	1104	2008	
		68	68	76	68	69	76	
		3.0	2.8	3.8	3.4	8.0	3.6	
AS07A†		0445	1048	2011	0450	1117	2017	
		70	70	75	70	74	75	
		2.3	2.2	2.7	2.5	2.7	2.5	
AS07B†		0445	~	2011	0450	1122	2021	
		70	-	75	75	74	75	
		6.4	~	5.9	6.5	7.8	5.6	
AS09		0500	1110	2029	0500	1133	2027	
		66/66	70	75	67/67	72	7 6	
		3.6/3.6	8.8	8.0	3.7/3.5	8.8	8,1	
AS10		0505	1122	2043	0510	1150	2037	
		67	72	74	68	76	74	
		2.9	3.3	4.7	2.8	3.6	4.6	
AS11		0520	1135	2100	0520	1205	2048	
		67	71	73	68	76	75	
		0.9	4.8	4.9	1.0	4.6	5.0	
AS13		0530	1150	2115	0530	1217	2100	
		68	71	73	65	77	75	
		1.7	4.2	6.1	2.2	4.0	6.0	
AS14		0540	1159	2130	0535	1228	2109	
		68	72	74	68	79	78	
		4.3	5.4	8.2	5.4	6.1	8.3	

Time (hr/min) - TEMPERATURE (°F) - DISSOLVED OXYGEN (mg/1)

* Time

** Temperature

*** Dissolved Oxygen

† "A" indicates above dam, "B" indicates below dam

STATION		JULY 22			JULY 23		
NUMBER	RUN 1	RUN 2	RUN 3	RUN 4	RUN 5	RUN 6	
AS16	0555	1233	2150	0545	1242	21 20	
	74	74	73	70	79	76	
	5.2	8.3	8.6	4.3	7.4	8.9	
AS17	0605	1249	2150	0555	1307	2131	
	69	72	72	70	81	74	
	6,5	8.9	5.6	6.3	7.8	5.2	
AS18	0615	1259	2213	0600	1318	2143	
	70	73	73	71	82	75	
	5.3	7.7	6.8	6.1	7.8	7.1	
AS19	0630	1317	2234	0615	1334	2200	
	70	7 9	73	70	82	76	
	6,5	6.8	8.2	6.7	6.7	7.4	
AS20	0700	1332	_	0645	1349	2226	
	68	73	-	72	78	75	
	7.0	8.8	-	6.5	8.7	6.3	
AS 21	0710	1350	2315	0650	1401	2241	
	70	73	74	72	83	77	
	7.1	7.9	8.6	7.9	8.8	8.8	
AS22	0715	1400	2326	0700	1410	2247	
	70	75	71	70	76	73	
	6.6	6.7	5.4	6.6	6.7	5.3	
AS24	0730	1415	2336	0705	1421	2255	
	66	72	71	68	76	74	
	4.6	9.6	5.3	5.3	9.4	5.3	
AS25	0740	1 426	2355	0715	1435	2311	
	67	79	71	68	79	76	
	5.1	10.1	5.8	5.9	-	6.8	
SU15	0800	1440	0006	0725	1453	2323	
	70	79	75	73	82	77	
	7.2	8.4	8.4	7.2	8.4	8,2	
C001	0750	1450	0018	0730	1502	2335	
	71	81	73	72	79	75	
	7.1	8.3	7.5	6.7	7.9	7.6	

TABLE 19 (Continued)

1987 ASSABET RIVER SURVEY

Time (hr/min) - TEMPERATURE (°F) - DISSOLVED OXYGEN (mg/l)

STATION		SEPTEM	IBER 1	SEPTEMBER 2		
NUMBER		RUN 1	RUN 2	RUN 3	RUN 4	
						_
AS02	*	0445	1500	0450	1510	
	**	64	66	59	68	
	***	5.7	9.0	7.6	9.0	
AS05		0510	1510	0510	1520	
		66	72	64	72	
		5.9	8.1	6.0	8.0	
AS06		0520	1525	0520	1530	
		66/66	68/68	64/64	68	
		6.0/6.1	7.0/6.9	5.9/5.5	7.1	
AS07A		0545	1535	0540	1542	
		66	68	64	68	
		4.8	7.2	4.6	7.1	
AS07B		0545	_	0540	1542	
		66	-	66	68	
		7.1	-	6.9	8.3	
AS09		0620	1545	0550	1559	
		64	68	64	68	
		5.5	9.5	5.2	9.3	
AS10		0630	1550	0555	1610	
		66	66	66	66	
		3.0	5.5	3.3	5.5	
AS11		0645	1600	0610	1620	
		64	66	66	66	
		1.9	7.5	2.0	7.3	
AS13		0700	1610	0620	1631	
		64	66	66	66	
		5.1	4.9	5.0	5.1	
AS14		0710	1620	0630	1642	
		64	68	66	68	
		5.9	6.8	7.0	6.9	

* Time

** Temperature

*** Dissolved Oxygen

STATION		SEPTEN	1BER 1	SEPTEMBER 2		
NUMBER		RUN 1	RUN 2	run 3	RUN 4	
AS16	*	0755	1630	0725	1652	
	**	66	66	66	66	
	***	2.2	8.9	2.6	8.6	
AS17		0805	1640	0735	1700	
		66	68	68	68	
		6.8	7.7	6.8	7.6	
AS18		0815	1655	0745	1711	
		66	68	68	68	
		6.9	9.5	6.4	9.3	
AS19		0830	1710	0800	1725	
		66	66	68	68	
		5.1	6.8	6.2	6.9	
AS20		0850	1740	0820	1745	
		66/66	68/68	64/64	68	
		7.4/7.5	8.0/8.2	7.1/7.5	8.0	
AS 21		0900	1750	0830	1 759	
		66	68	66	67	
		6.8	7.7	4.3	7.1	
AS 22		0910	1800	0840	1815	
		66	66	68	68	
		7.1	7.0	7.8	7.2	
AS 24		0920	1810	0855	1825	
		66	68	64	66	
		5.8	9.4	5.9	9.0	
AS 25		0930	1820	0905	1845	
		66	68	64	66	
		6.2	10.0	6.9	9.1	
SU15		0955	1835	0920	1855	
		66	68	68	67	
		7.6	8.9	5.5	8.0	
CO01		1005	1845	0930	1900	
		66	66	66	66	
		6.3	8.9	7.3	8.7	

TABLE 20 (Continued)

* Time

****** Temperature

*** Dissolved Oxygen

1987 ASSABET RIVER SURVEY

FIVE-DAY BIOCHEMICAL OXYGEN DEMAND DATA (mg/1)

STATION NUMBER	7/22	7/23	MEAN	9/1	9/2	MEAN	
AS02	6.6	3.6	5.1	2.4	1.8	2.1	
AS05	6.3	3,6	5.0	2.1	2.1	2.1	
AS06	6.4	3.3	4.9	2.4/2.1	1.8/2.7	2.3	
AS07	6.3	3.3	4.8	2.4	1.5	2.0	
AS09	4.5/6.6	1.8/2.4	3.8	1.8	1.8	1.8	
AS10	9.0	4.2	6.6	3.9	3.3	3.6	
AS11	4.8	1.5	3.2	1.5	1.2	1.4	
AS13	6.0	-	-	1.5	1.5	1.5	
AS14	5.4	-	-	2.1	0.9	1.5	
AS16	5.4	-	-	1.5	2.4	2.0	
AS17	6.0	3.0	4.5	2.7	1.8	2.3	
AS18	4.8	2.7	3.8	2.7	0.9	1.8	
AS19	7.8	3.0	5.4	1.2	1.2	1.2	
AS 20	9.3/5.1	2.4/6.0	5.7	1.8/1.2	2.4/1.8	1.8	
AS21	5.7	4.2	5.0	11	17	14	
AS22	6.3	3.3	4.8	4.5	3.3	3.9	
AS 24	4.5	3.0	3.8	1.8	1.5	1.7	
AS 25	4.8	3.0	3.9	1.8	2.1	2.0	
SU15	6.6	5.1	5.9	2.7	4.5	3.6	
CO01	4.5	3.6	4.1	3.6	2.1	2.9	

1987 ASSABET RIVER SURVEY

ph data - field measurements¹ (Standard Log. Units)

STATION	JULY 22			JULY 23		
NUMBER	RUN 1	RUN 2	RUN 3	RUN 4	RUN 5	RUN 6
	-					
AS02	6.8	7.3	6.5	6.9	7.4	6.8
AS05	6.5	6.8	6.4	6.3	7.0	6.9
AS06	6.4	6.8	6.5	6.5	7.0	6.9
AS07	6.4	6.7	6.4	7.0	7.0	6.9
AS09	6.6/6.6	7.8	7.0	6.8	7.6	7.5
AS10	8.7	6.8	-	6.6	7.0	7.1
AS11	6.7	6.8	6.7	6.5	9.5	7.0
AS13	6.7	6.7	6.8	6.4	(2)	7.0
AS14	6.5	7.2	7.2	6.4	(2)	7.5
AS16	6.7	7.9	7.2	6.6	(2)	7.5
AS17	6.8	7.4	7.1	6.6	(2)	7.3
AS18	6.9	7.3	7.1	6.7	(2)	6.9
AS19	6.8	7.2	7.2	6.6	(2)	7.1
AS20	6.9/6.9	8.1	7.3	6.4	(2)	7.3
AS21	7.0	7.4	7.5	6.8	(2)	7.6
AS22	7.1	7.0	7.7	6.7	(2)	7.1
AS24	7.1	7.6	7.3	6.9	(2)	7.2
AS25	6.5	7.9	7.2	6.6	(2)	7.3
SU15	7.0	7.9	7.5	6.8	(2)	7.5
C001	6.5	7.6	7.0	6.7	(2)	7.4

(1) Grab samples - measured in field(2) Meter malfunction

1987 ASSABET RIVER SURVEY

pH DATA - FIELD MEASUREMENTS₁ (Standard Log. Units)

STATION	SEPTEN	IBER 1	SEPTEMBER 2		
NUMBER	RUN 1	RUN 2	RUN 3		
AS02	7.1	6.7	6.5		
AS05	6.9	6.4	6.6		
AS06	6.7/6.8	6.6/6.6	6.6		
AS07	6.8	6.9	6.8		
AS09	6.8	7.1	6.7		
AS10	6.6	7.0	6.7		
AS11	6.5	7.0	6.6		
AS13	6.6	7.0	6.7		
AS14	6.7	6.9	6.8		
AS16	6.6	7.0	6.8		
AS17	6.7	7.0	6.5		
AS18	6.9	7.2	6.7		
AS19	6.7	7.3	6.8		
AS20	6.8/6.8	7.3/7.3	7.1		
AS21	6.9	7.3	7.0		
AS22	6.8	7.3	6.9		
AS24	6.9	7.4	6.9		
AS25	7.0	7.5	6.8		
SU15	7.1	7.4	6.9		
CO01	7.0	7.4	6.9		

1 Grab samples - measured in field

1987 ASSABET RIVER SURVEY

pH DATA - LABORATORY MEASUREMENTS₁ (Standard Log. Units)

STATION NUMBER	7/22	7/23	9/1	9/2	
AS02	7.7	7.5	7.6	7.4	
AS05	7.2	7.0	7.1	7.1	
AS06	7.0	7.0	7.1/7.1	7.0/7.1	
AS07	7.0	6.9	7.2	6.9	
AS09	7.2/7.2	7.1/7.1	7.3	7.1	
AS10	7.0	6.9	7.1	7.0	
AS11	4.8	6.9	7.1	7.5	
AS13	7.1	-	7.0	7.5	
AS14	7.3	-	7.2	7.3	
AS16	7.4	-	7.4	7.5	
AS17	7.4	7.0	7.3	7.5	
AS18	7.4	7.1	7.5	7.4	
AS19	7.4	7.2	7.6	7.4	
AS20	7.6/7.6	7.2/7.2	7.6/7.6	7.5/7.4	
AS21	7.5	7.5	7.4	7.0	
AS22	7.3	7.3	7.4	7.3	
AS24	7.3	7.3	7.5	7.4	
AS25	7.3	7.4	7.6	7.4	
SU15	7.3	7.5	7.4	7.1	
C001	7.4	7.4	7.4	7.2	

1 Composite samples - measured at central lab.

1987 ASSABET RIVER SURVEY

TOTAL ALKALINITY DATA (mg/1)

STATION NUMBER	7/22	7/23	MEAN	9/1	9/2	MEAN	
AS02	46	47	47	34	34	34	
AS05	41	42	42	24	29	27	
AS06	41	47	44	27/27	27/27	27	
AS07	37	47	42	29	26	28	
AS09	31/31	32/31	31	25	26	26	
AS10	42	44	43	36	31	34	
AS11	30	33	32	29	31	30	
AS13	31	-	-	24	31	28	
AS14	35	-	-	32	26	29	
AS16	36	-	-	32	25	29	
AS17	34	30	32	38	36	37	
AS18	36	34	35	36	46	41	
AS19	33	33	33	40	40	40	
AS20	32/32	31/31	32	40/39	39/40	40	
AS21	33	32	33	36	40	38	
AS22	31	31	31	38	38	38	
AS24	31	31	31	35	35	35	
AS25	31	31	31	34	35	35	
SU15	33	31	32	26	26	26	
C001	31	30	31	33	28	31	

1987 ASSABET RIVER SURVEY

SUSPENDED SOLIDS DATA (mg/1)

STATION NUMBER	7/22	7/23	MEAN	9/1	9/2	MEAN
AS02	4.0	3.5	3.8	5.0	2.0	3.5
AS05	3.5	2.5	3.0	3.0	3.5	3.3
AS06	3.0	2.0	2.4	5.0/5.5	2.0/1.0	3.4
A\$07	1.0	2.0	1.5	2.5	0.0	1.3
AS09	1.5/1.5	0.5/0.5	1.0	2.5	0.5	1.2
AS10	2.0	2,5	2.3	2.5	1.5	2.0
AS11	1.0	1.5	1.3	0.5	0.0	0.3
AS13	1,5	-	-	2.5	0.0	1.3
AS14	2.0	-	-	2.0	1.0	1.5
ASI6	0,5	-	-	3.0	1.5	2.3
AS17	1.0	1.0	1.0	7.0	0.5	3.8
AS18	1.5	9.0	5.3	14	0.0	7.0
AS19	5,0	3.5	4.3	2.5	0.0	1.3
AS 20	1.5/20	4.5/5.0	3.3	2.5/2.5	1.0/1.0	1.4
AS21	3.0	12	7.5	11	56	33.5
AS22	2.5	2.5	2.5	6.0	1.0	3.5
AS 24	1.0	0.0	0.5	2.5	2.0	2.3
AS 25	2.0	0.0	1.0	4.0	2.5	3.3
SU15	6.5	7.5	7.0	11	14	12.5
CO01	7.0	2.5	4.8	8.5	7.0	7.8

1987 ASSABET RIVER SURVEY

TOTAL SOLIDS DATA (mg/1)

STATION NUMBER	7/22	7/23	MEAN	9/1	9/2	MEAN	
AS02	130	150	140	140	170	155	
AS05	330	330	330	320	340	330	
AS06	310	330	320	270/270	300/290	283	
AS07	280	310	295	270	290	280	
AS09	210/230	250/250	235	220	230	225	
AS10	230	270	250	250	280	265	
AS11	220	240	230	230	250	240	
AS13	220	-	-	220	230	225	
AS14	210	-	-	250	230	240	
AS16	230	-	-	260	240	250	
AS17	240	260	250	300	310	305	
AS18	210	220	215	360	280	320	
AS19	210	200	2 05	280	270	275	
AS20	140/150	180/180	163	260/260	260/260	260	
AS21	150	170	160	260	280	270	
AS22	150	170	160	260	230	245	
AS 24	170	170	170	260	230	245	
AS25	160	170	165	260	230	245	
SU15	160	170	165	190	150	170	
CO01	160	170	165	210	170	190	

1987 ASSABET RIVER SURVEY

TOTAL HARDNESS DATA (mg/1)

STATION NUMBER	7/22	7/23	MEAN	9/1	9/2	MEAN	
AS02	53	47	50	37	39	38	
AS05	67	62	65	49	40	45	
AS06	67	57	62	49/50	39/49	47	
AS07	64	57	61	48	49	49	
AS09	57/79	57/52	61	44	45	45	
AS10	56	51	54	44	43	44	
AS11	44	51	48	44	45	45	
AS13	46	-	_	44	45	45	
AS14	59	-	-	47	48	48	
AS16	54	-	-	47	50	49	
AS17	58	51	55	40	53	47	
AS18	50	50	50	39	55	47	
AS19	56	49	53	37	49	43	
AS20	42/39	44/47	43	46/46	49/52	48	
AS21	42	41	42	47	53	50	
AS22	42	41	42	49	47	48	
AS24	49	44	47	44	47	46	
AS25	42	44	43	49	47	48	
SU15	43	44	44	39	36	38	
CO01	45	44	45	47	38	43	

1987 ASSABET RIVER SURVEY

TOTAL KJELDAHL-NITROGEN DATA (mg/l)

STATION NUMBER	7/22	7/23	MEAN	9/1	9/2	MEAN
AS02	0.55	0.93	0.74	1.3	1,5	1.4
AS05	2.0	2.2	2.1	1.1	1.7	1.4
AS06	2.1	2.4	2.25	1.1/1.3	1.4/1.4	1.3
AS07	1.8	2.2	2.0	0.80	1.0	0.90
AS09	1.8/0.95 1	.0/1.1	1.2	0.72	0.90	0.81
AS10	2.4	2.3	2.4	2.9	2.2	2,55
AS11	1.4	1.3	1.4	1.9	2.1	2.0
AS13	1.2	-	-	0.70	1.6	1.15
AS14	0,90	-		2.2	1.6	1.9
AS16	0,93	-	-	1.5	1.5	1,5
AS17	0.77	0.80	0.79	1.4	1.4	1.4
AS18	0.70	0.80	0.75	0.94	1.6	1.27
AS19	0.81	0.79	0.80	0.57	1.1	0.84
AS20	1.4/0.96 0	.99/0.95	1.08	0.60/2.0	2.0/1.3	1.48
AS21	1.6	1.2	1.4	2.0	3.2	2.6
AS22	1.3	1.2	1.3	1.2	1.5	1.35
AS 24	0.99	0.77	0.88	0.64	1.1	0.87
AS 25	1.0	0.67	0.84	1.1	1.3	1.2
SU15	0.60	0.57	0.59	0.46	0.70	0.58
CO01	0.72	0.72	0.72	0.62	0.58	0.60

1987 ASSABET RIVER SURVEY

AMMONIA-NITROGEN DATA (mg/1)

STATION NUMBER	7/22	7/23	MEAN	9/1	9/2	MEAN	
AS02	0.55	0.05	0.30	0.05	0.02	0.04	
AS05	0.57	0.42	0.50	0.10	0.10	0.10	
AS06	0.85	0.68	0.77	0.15/0.16	0.14/0.14	0.15	
AS07	1.0	0.89	0.95	0.13	0.13	0.13	
AS09	1.0/0.95 (0.06/0.11	0.53	0.04	0.03	0.04	
AS10	1.6	0.10	0.85	1.4	1.2	1.3	
AS11	0.28	0.06	0.17	0.54	0.59	0.57	
AS13	0.10	-	-	0.06	0.24	0.15	
AS14	0,21	-	-	0,38	0.24	0.32	
AS16	0.05	-	-	0.35	0.14	0.25	
AS17	0.03	0.06	0.05	0.40	0.15	0.28	
AS18	0.04	0.09	0.07	0.22	0.19	0.21	
AS19	0.08	0.05	0.07	0.09	0.11	0.10	
AS 20	0.62/0.04 (0.04/0.07	0.19	0.11/0.12	0.10/0.06	0.10	
AS21	0.35	0.37	0.36	0.51	0.26	0.39	
AS22	0.21	0,26	0.24	0,43	0.26	0.34	
AS 24	0.12	0,17	0.15	0.10	0.02	0.06	
AS25	0.10	0.15	0.13	0.31	0.03	0.17	
SU15	0.07	0.07	0.07	0.02	<0.02	<0.02	
CO01	0.10	0.09	0.10	0.06	0.02	0.04	

1987 ASSABET RIVER SURVEY

NITRATE-NITROGEN DATA (mg/1)

STATION NUMBER	7/22	7/23	MEAN	9/1	9/2	MEAN
AS02	0.8	0.8	0.8	0.5	0.5	0.5
AS05	0.8	10	5.4	11	9.6	10.3
AS06	1.0	8.1	4.6	8.4/8.6	7.8/7.8	8.2
AS07	1.2	8.4	4.8	7.2	7.3	7.3
AS09	1.2/0.9	6.9/3.8	3.2	4.1	4.9	4.5
AS10	5.4	2.8	4.1	3.4	3.7	3.6
AS11	6.2	1.7	4.0	3.3	3.7	3.5
AS13	4.6	-	_	3.3	3.1	3.2
AS14	2.4	-	-	4.5	3.3	3.9
AS16	1.6	-	-	4.5	4.7	4.6
AS17	2.0	3.0	2.5	5.0	5.2	5.1
AS18	1.4	1.4	1.4	4.2	4.1	4.2
AS19	0.5	0.8	0.7	1.6	3.7	2.7
AS20	0.7/0.5	0.5/0.5	-	1.1/0.9	1.1/1.0	1.0
AS21	0.6	0.6	0.6	1.0	0.9	1.0
AS22	0.8	0.8	0,8	0.18	1.0	0.6
AS24	1.1	1.0	1.1	1.5	1.6	1.6
AS 25	0.8	1.0	0.9	1.5	1.4	1.4
SU15	0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
CO01	0.5	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.4	0.5

1987 ASSABET RIVER SURVEY

TOTAL PHOSPHORUS DATA (mg/1)

STATION NUMBER	7/22	7/23	MEAN	9/1	9/2	MEAN
AS02	0.04	0.07	0.06	0.50	0.07	0.29
AS05	3.8	3.3	3.55	3.3	2.1	2.7
AS06	3.8	3.2	3,5	3.1/3.1	1.9/1.9	2.5
AS07	3.0	3.2	3.1	2.9	1.6	2.25
AS09	1.0/1.8 2.	0/2.2	1.75	1.5	1.5	1.5
AS10	2.2	2.7	2.45	4.4	2.0	3.2
AS11	1.7	1.9	1.8	3.1	2.3	2.7
AS13	0.94	_	-	1.0	1.8	1.4
AS14	0.80	-	-	2.0	1.2	1.6
AS16	0.67	-	-	1.4	1.2	1.3
AS17	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.6	1.6	1.6
AS18	0.93	1.0	0.97	1.3	1.3	1.3
AS19	0.74	0.73	0.74	1.2	1.2	1.2
AS20	0.52/0.53 0.	62/0.67	0.59	0.99/0.76	1.0/1.1	0.96
AS21	0.70	0.75	0.73	1.0	1.3	1.2
AS22	0.73	0.72	0.73	0.84	1.0	0.92
AS24	0.59	0.56	0.58	0.91	0.90	0.91
AS25	0.52	0.53	0.53	0.96	0.85	0.91
SU15	0.08	0.90	0.09	0.16	0.14	0,15
CO01	0.30	0.32	0.31	0.44	0.21	0.33

1987 ASSABET RIVER SURVEY

CHLORIDE	DATA	(mg/	1)
----------	------	------	---	---

STATION NUMBER	7/22	7/23	MEAN	9/1	9/2	MEAN	
AS01			~			-	
AS02	35	34	35	30	30	30	
AS05	97	87	92	75	84	80	
AS06	89	87	88	69/67	75/75	72	
AS07	75	86	81	65	70	68	
AS09	64/63	67/67	65	58	60	59	
AS10	71	74	73	68	71	70	
AS11	68	70	69	64	68	66	
AS13	72	-	-	60	65	63	
AS14	72		-	68	63	66	
AS16	69	-	-	70	73	72	
AS17	84	87	86	91	87	89	
AS18	71	75	73	83	82	83	
AS19	69	69	69	88	85	87	
AS 20	58/58	62/62	60	77/77	80/84	80	
AS 21	57	58	58	72	72	72	
AS 22	57	57	57	72	72	72	
AS24	55	55	55	68	69	69	
AS25	53	55	54	67	71	69	
SU15	53	53	53	55	49	52	
CO01	53	53	53	57	54	56	

1987 ASSABET RIVER SURVEYS

FECAL COLIFORM BACTERIA DATA (Organisms/100ml)

STATION	JULY	JULY 22		23	
NUMBER	RUN 1	RUN 2	RUN 3	RUN 4	GEOMETRIC MEAN
AS02	440	280	580	560	450
AS05	180	560	280	160	260
AS06	260	160	120	160	170
AS07	200	140	440	80	180
AS09	40/60	60/20	120/140	<5/20	40
AS10	<5	<5	1200	20	30
AS11	660	<5	140	200	100
AS13	100	80	100	20	60
AS14	100	180	740	2400	420
AS16	600	600	520	600	580
AS17	140	<5	60	60	40
AS18	<5	20	<5	<5	<7
AS19	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5
AS20	700/880	940/1000	800/1400	960/740	910
AS 21	80	60	60	40	60
AS22	200	160	400	300	250
AS24	180	100	200	40	110
AS25	200	20	540	20	80
SU15	40	60	80	20	40
CO01	260	40	260	40	100

1987 ASSABET RIVER SURVEYS

FECAL COLIFORM BACTERIA DATA (Organisms/100ml)

STATION NUMBER	SEPTEMBER 1	SEPTEMBER 2	GEOMETRIC MEAN
AS02	1300	*	1300
A\$05	360	240	290
AS06	320/600	400/420	420
AS07	*	100	100
AS09	160	140	150
AS10	5	200	30
AS11	60	80	70
AS13	80	160	110
AS14	400	780	560
AS16	260	200	230
AS17	160	360	240
AS18	<5	20	<10
AS19	80	20	40
AS 20	900/800	1100/1000	970
AS21	120	20	50
AS22	300	100	170
AS24	440	40	130
AS25	260	120	180
SU15	440	130	240
CO01	460	60	170

*Sample broken

1987 ASSABET RIVER SURVEY

Algae: Results of Microscopic Examinations

7/22/87

	AS01	AS07	AS14	AS17	AS 21
AICAE TYPE (notural units (m))					
ALGAG TIFE (HALUTAI UNILS/MI)	_				
Diatoms					
Centric	0	0	65	0	110
Pennate	569	11	0	76	88
Blue-Green					
Coccoid	1139	0	0	0	0
Filamentous	2102	0	0	0	66
Green					
Coccoid	1270	33	0	11	503.7
Desmids	0	0	11	0	87.6
Filamentous	0	0	0	0	0
Flagellates					
Green	350	22	11	0	22
Other	88	11	0	0	22
Total Live Algae	5518	77	87	87	921
Chlorophyll a (mg/m ³)	10.64	.792	1.056	.42	4.48

•

1987 ASSABET RIVER SURVEY

TOTAL METALS DATA (mg/1)

7/22/87

STATION	1									
NUMBER	A1	Cd	_Cr	Cu	Fe	Hg	Mn	<u>Ni</u>	РЪ	Zn
		(0.001	10 00 0	(0.000		0 0000		(a. 015	(0.000	0 007
AS02	<0,10	<0.001	<0.002	<0.002	0.40	0,0006	0.12	<0.015	<0.002	0.007
AS05	0.10	<0.001	<0.002	0.03	0.22	0.0007	0.10	<0.015	<0.002	0,06
AS06	0.12	<0.001	0.003	0.02	0.19	0.0005	0,07	<0.015	<0.002	0.05
AS07	<0.10	<0.001	0.003	0.02	0.18	0.0003	0.21	<0.015	<0.002	0.05
AS09	<0.10/ <0.10	<0.001/ <0.001	<0.002/ <0.002	0.006/ 0.13	0.30/ 0.28	<0.0002 <0.0002	0.02/ 0.04	<0.015 0.015	<0.002 <0.002	0.006/ 0.006
AS10	<0.10	<0.001	0.002	0.007	0.31	<0.0002	0.08	0.015	<0.002	0.03
ASI1	<0.10	<0.001	0.002	0.007	0.20	0.0002	0.04	0.05	<0.002	0.04
AS13	<0.10	<0.001	<0.002	0.011	0.18	<0.0002	0.07	0.044	<0.002	0.03
AS14	<0.10	<0.001	<0.002	0.006	0.20	<0.0002	0.06	0.017	0.003	0.008
AS16	<0.10	<0.001	<0.002	0.009	0.19	<0.0002	0.034	<0.015	<0.002	0.02
AS17	<0.10	<0.001	<0.002	0,006	0.15	<0.0002	0.026	0.029	<0.002	0.02
AS18	<0.10	<0.001	<0.002	<0.002	0.24	<0.0002	0.10	<0.02	0.003	0.02
AS19	<0.10	<0.001	<0.002	0,007	0.24	0.0028	0.05	<0.02	<0.002	0.03
AS20	<0.10/ <0.10	<0.001/ <0.001	<0.002/ <0.002	0.003/ 0.006	0.30/ 0.30	0.0004/ 0.0002	0.03/ 0.03	<0.02/ <0.02	0.003/ 0.002	0.005/ 0.02
AS 21	<0.10	<0.001	<0.002	0.016	0.49	0.0019	0.05	0.035	0.007	0.05
AS 22	<0.10	<0.001	<0.002	0,005	0.50	0.0008	0.04	<0.02	0.003	0,02
AS 24	<0.10	<0.001	<0.002	0.011	0.39	0,0006	0.036	<0.02	0.004	0.02
AS 25	<0.10	<0.001	<0.002	0.006	0.38	0.0007	0.02	<0.02	0.005	0.02
SU15	<0.10	<0.001	<0.002	0.004	0.48	0.0006	0.22	<0.02	0.003	0.007
CO01	<0.10	<0.001	<0.002	0.016	0.42	0.0009	0.11	<0.02	0.005	0.015

1987 ASSABET RIVER SURVEY

TOTAL METALS DATA (mg/1)

<u>9/1/87</u>

STATION NUMBER	Al	Cđ	Cr	Cu	Fe	Hg	Mn	Ni	рЬ	Zn
AS02	0.38	0.001	0.004	0.004	0.84	<0.0002	0.25	0.014	0.006	0.02
AS05	0.17	0.006	0.006	0.032	0.20	<0.0002	0.05	0.007	0.008	0.03
AS06	0.13/ 0.12	0.003/ <0.001	0.007/ 0.007	0.028/ 0.027	0.28/ 0.28	<0.0002 <0.0002	0.11/0.10	0.012/ 0.008	0.01/ 0.01	0.04/ 0.04
AS07	0.14	0.022	0.007	0.021	0.20	<0.0002	0.04	0.007	0.007	0.11
AS09	<0.10	<0.001	0.005	0.007	0.22	<0.0002	0.04	0.006	0.006	0.02
AS10	<0.10	<0.001	0.009	0.009	0.27	<0.0002	0.04	0.13	0.006	0.02
AS11	<0.10	<0.001	0.005	0.01	0.14	<0.0002	0.06	0.12	0.005	0.03
AS13	<0.10	<0.001	0.004	<0.004	0,16	<0.0002	0.09	0.07	0.004	0.03
AS14	<0.10	<0.001	0.005	<0.004	0.25	<0.0002	0.07	0.12	0.019	0.03
AS16	<0.10	<0.001	0.008	<0.004	0.08	<0.0002	0.03	0.05	0.008	0.02
AS17	<0.10	<0.001	0.006	<0.004	0.33	<0.0002	0.13	0.05	0.009	0.03
AS18	<0.10	<0.001	0.004	<0.004	0.10	<0.0002	0.03	0.04	0.014	0.03
AS19	<0.10	<0.001	0.005	<0.004	0.10	0.0008	0.04	0.023	0.022	0.03
AS20	<0.10 <0.10	<0.001 <0.001	0.003/ 0.005	<0.004/ <0.004	0.29/ 0.25	0.0004/ 0.0005	0.05/ 0.06	0.021/ 0.018	0.011/ 0.006	0.02/ 0.02
AS21	<0.10	<0.001	0.004	<0.004	0.82	0.0006	0.20	0.017	0.014	0.02
AS22	<0.10	<0.001	0.004	<0.004	0.36	0.0003	0.05	0.015	0.010	0.03
AS24	<0.10	<0.001	0.002	<0.004	0.17	0.0003	0.04	0.009	0.012	0.02
AS25	<0.10	<0.001	0.003	0.01	0.24	0.0003	0.04	0.014	0.012	0.02
SU15	<0.10	<0.001	0.003	0.004	0.32	0.0002	0.17	0.011	0.013	0,02
C001	<0.10	<0.001	0.002	0.005	0.30	0.0004	0.17	0.011	0.007	0.02

1987 ASSABET RIVER SURVEY

SYNTHETIC ORGANIC COMPOUNDS (ug/1) WATER COLUMN

STATION NUMBER	COMPOUND	QUANTITY (ug/1)			
	2/18/87				
AS04	Acid extractables	ND*			
AS06	Phenol	17			
	7/8/87				
4805	Chlaraform	1 2			
AB00	Bromadichlaromethane	1.0			
	bromodicatoromeenane	1.0			
AS06	Chloroform	1.5			
	Bromodichloromethane	1.0			
	7/24/87				
ልፍብንታ	Aconanthono	<10			
HOOL	Fluorene	3.6			
	Trichlorotrifluoroethane	7.9			
	1.1.1-Trichloroethane	14			
	Trichloroethylene	<1.0			
	Tetrachloroethylene	5.2			
	Toluene	1.1			
	Acetone	62			
AS05	Isocvanatobenzene	**			
	Chloroform	10			
	Bromodichloromethane	4.9			
	Dibromochloromethane	1.8			
AS06	Base/Neutral Extractables	ND			
110-00	Chloroform	7.3			
	Bromodichloromethane	3.3			
	Dibromochloromethane	1.4			
AS10	Isocvanatobenzene	**			
110 4 4	1,1,1-trichloroethane	4,5			
	· ·				
AS17	Isocyanatobenzene	**			
	Volatile Organics	ND			
AS 22	Isocyanatobenzene	**			
	Volatile Organics	ND			

* None detected.

** No standard available for quantitation. The mass spectrum was compared to a mass spectral database for identification.

1987 ASSABET RIVER SURVEY

ACUTE TOXICITY VIA MICROTOX™

30-MINUTE TIME PERIOD*

STATION	DATE	EC ₁₀ (%)**	EC ₂₀ (%)	EC ₅₀ (%)
Westborough WWTP Effluent	7/22-23/87	12.9	22.8	77.0
Marlborough W. WWTP Effluent	7/22-23/87	***	***	***
Maynard WWTP Effluent	7/22-23/87	29.0	81.0	>100
AS05	7/23/87	***	***	***
AS10	7/23/87	2 9 .0	70.0	>100
AS21	7/23/87	***	***	***
AS10	8/5/87	37.0	75	>100
AS09	9/1/87	67.0	>100	>100
AS10	9/1/87	17.0	70.0	>100
Marlborough W. WWTP Effluent	9/1-2/87	13.0	23.5	82.0

* More extensive data (i.e., 5 and 15-minute toxicity) on file at DWPC, Westborough

** Reported as percent volume of sample.

*** Spurious results - negative gamma values.
1987 ASSABET RIVER SURVEY

SEDIMENT METALS DATA (mg/kg dry weight)

8-5-87

STATION											
NUMBER	A1	В	Cd	Cr	Cu	Hg	Mo	Ni	Pb	Zn	
ASOI	4,300	10	<0.20	5.8	7.4	0.032	<0.5	6.2	8.9	16	
AS04	3,000	11	0.90	11	150	0.57	<0.5	9.3	90	130	
ASO5 (Right	4,600 Bank)	10	0.90	14	150	0.60	<0.5	11	83	130	
ASO5 (Left B	5,500 ank)	12	1.5	31	270	1.34	0.8	13	81	200	
AS07	6,900	17	1.1	27	160	0.42	0.9	13	190	380	
AS10	6,400	17	0.50	38	72	0.50	<0.5	32	97	69	
South St., Ex	7,500 t., Huda	17 son	0.80	160	280	2.5	<0.5	19	510	330	
AS17	13,000	18	0.20	16	24	0.30	<0.5	7.8	66	69	
AS21	6,600	20	1.0	80	140	0.40	1.3	200	100	120	

1987 ASSABET RIVER SURVEY

SYNTHETIC ORGANIC COMPOUNDS

SEDIMENT

8/5/87

STATION		
NUMBER	COMPOUND	QUANTITY (ug/g)
AS01	Caryphyllene	*
	Nonadecane (small peak)	*
	Acid Extractables	ND**
	PCB 1242	ND
	PCB 1260	<0.17
	Pesticides	***
AS04	Acid Extractables	ND
	Base/Neutral Extractables	ND
	PCB 1242	<0.16
	PCB 1260	<0.17
	Pesticides	***
AS05	Acid Extractables	ND
(Left bank)	Base/Neutral Extractables	ND
	PCB 1242	ND
	PCB 1260	0.21
	Pesticides	***
AS05	Acid Extractables	ND
(Ríght bank)	Ethylhexanol (large peak)	*
*	Nonanol	*
	Methylcyclodecane	*
	PCB 1242	<0.16
	PCB 1260	0.30
	Pesticides	***
AS07	Acid Extractables	ND
	Base/Neutral Extractables	ND
	PCB 1242	<0.16
	PCB 1260	<0.17
	Pesticides	***

* No standard available for quantitation. The mass spectrum was compared to a mass spectral database for identification.

^{**} None detected.

^{***} Unable to determine due to the presence of PCB's.

TABLE 42 (Continued)

8/5/87

STATION NUMBER	Compound	QUANTITY (ug/g)
AS10	Acid Extractables	ND**
	Base/Neutral Extractables	ND
	PCB 1260	0.22
	Pesticides	***
South St.	Naphthalene	1.8
ext., Hudson	Acenapthylene	0.86
	Acenapthene	1.1
	Fluorene	1.7
	Phenanthrene	41
	Anthracene	4.6
	Fluoranthene	80
	Pyrene	70
	Chrysene	27
	Benzo-a-anthracene	44
	Benzo-(k)-fluoranthene	35
	Benzo-a-pyrene	34
	Benzo-(ghi)-perylene	26
	Methylnaphthalene	*
	Dimethylnaphthalene (small peak)	*
	Methylphenanthrene (small peak)	*
	Methylpyrene (small peak)	*
	Cresol (small peak)	*
	Hexanol (small peak)	*
	PCB's	ND
	Pestícides	ND
AS21	Acenapthylene	0.83
	Fluorene	1,1
	Phenanthrene	8.1
	Fluorianthene	18
	Pyrene	15
	Chrysene	10
	Benzo-a-anthracene	17
	Benzo-(k)-fluoranthene	9.3
	Dimethylnaphthalene (small peak)	*
	Acid Extractables	ND
	PCB 1260	0.26
	Pesticides	***

No standard available for quantitation. The mass spectrum was compared to * a mass spectral database for identification. **

None detected.

*** Unable to determine due to the presence of PCB's.

ASSABET RIVER BASIN

FLOW DATA

1987 ASSABET RIVER SURVEY

Flow Data (cfs)

STATION	DATE	FLOW	
AS02 ¹	7/22/87	0.1	
	7/23/87	0.06	
	9/2/87	0.1	
AS10 ¹	7/22/87	0 27	
AUTO	9/2/87	14.1	
)/2/0/	A 7 8 4	
AS16 ¹	7/22/87	17.2	
AS17 ¹	7/23/87	10.9	
	9/2/87	23.9	
45202	7/00/87	28	
AD LV	7/22/07	20	
	9/1/87	43	
	9/2/87	26	
	7/17/85	41	
	8/8/79	34	
	8/9/79	27	

1. Measurements made by TSB personnel using Pygmy meter.

2. Provisional measurements taken by U.S.G.S. at automated gaging station.

ASSABET RIVER BASIN

WASTEWATER DISCHARGE DATA

WESTBOROUGH WASTEWATER TREATMENT PLANT*

Results of Laboratory Analyses

(Samples are 24-hour Composite and Units mg/l unless otherwise noted)

PARAMETER	3/16-17/87	4/15-16/87 EFFLUENT	5/12-13/87
BOD 5	14	75	27
pH (Standard units)**	7.2	6.65	-
Total Alkalinity	90	90	20
Suspended Solids	10	32	29
Settleable Solids (m1/1)	<0.05	<0.05	10
Total Solids	360	400	350
Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen	7.5	14	23
Ammonia-Nitrogen	7.0	12	15
Nitrate-Nitrogen	0.3	0.2	0.1
Total Phosphorus	0.88	4.5	4.0
Chlorine Residual**		1.5	1.2
Fecal Coliform Bacteria (organisms/100 ml)**	-	-	430
Chloride	94	118	88
Flow (MGD)	1.94	2.5	1.91
Temperature (°C)**	6	10	13
Aluminum	-	-	0.14
Cadmium	-		<0.02
Chromium	-	~	<0.02
Copper	-	-	0.11
Iron	-	~	0.20
Manganese	-	-	0.05
Nickel	-		<0.03
Lead	-	-	<0.05
Zinc	-	-	<0.02
Silver	-	-	<0.02

* Samples taken prior to Shrewsbury WWTP tie-in. **Grab Sample

WESTBOROUGH WASTEWATER TREATMENT PLANT*

Results of Laboratory Analyses

(Samples are 24-hour Composite and Units mg/l unless otherwise noted)

6	/9-10/87	7/7-8/87 7/21-22/87		7/22-23/87	8/19-20/87	
PARAMETER		EFFLU	ENT	· · · ·	INFLUENT	EFFLUENT
BOD _⊏	19	1.8	13	4.4	170	3.3
pH (Standard units)**	6.55	6 45	6.55	6 2	_	-
Total Alkalinity	46	31	40	43	156	52
Suspended Solids	0.0	3.4	9.5	55	49	2.5
Settleable Solids (m1/1)	0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	4.0	<0.05
Total Solids	390	440	440	440	560	450
Hardness	-	57	-	-	-	450
Total Kieldahl-Nitrogen	7.0	4.6	56	30	25	2.0
Ammonia-Nitrogen	25	0.17	0.13	0.13	0 5	0 11
Nitrate-Nitrogen	14	17	17	2/	<0.1	5.0
Total Phosphorus	5.2	45	5 6	24 5 5	5 5	<u> </u>
Chlorine Residual**	0.09		0.5	0.7	-	0.5
Fecal Coliform Bacteria*	* 9,300	<5	<10	<10	-	5
(organisms/100 ml)	-					
Chloride	86	85	300	100	80	80
Flow (MGD)	3.46	3.214	3.11	2.72	-	2.72
Temperature (°C)**	_	20	21	20	_	22
Aluminum	-	0.20	<0.10	<0.10	0.59	<0.10
Cadmium	-	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02
Chromium	-	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02
Copper	_	0.08	0.08	0.05	0.42	0.03
Iron	-	0.05	0.14	0,10	0.72	0.07
Manganese	-	0.04	<0.02	<0.02	0.19	0.02
Nickel	-	<0.03	<0.03	<0.03	<0.03	<0.03
Lead	-	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05
Zinc	-	0.05	0.09	0.06	0.11	0.03
Silver	-	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02
Methylene Chloride (ug/l)**	2.6	-	ND	ND	_	_
Chloroform (ug/l)**	ND	_	ND	16		_
Bromodichloromethane (ug/l)**	ND	-	ND	7.1	-	-
Dibromochloromethane (ug/1)	ND	-	ND	2.9	-	-

*Samples taken after Shrewsbury WWTP tie-in to Westborough WWTP. **Grab Sample

TABLE 45 (Continued)

	9/1	-2/87	9/2-	-3/87	9/22	-23/87
PARAMETER	INFLUENT	EFFLUENT	INFLUENT	EFFLUENT	INFLUENT	EFFLUENT
BOD5	-	-	260	4.5	590	9.6
pH (Standard units)*	-	6.4	_	6.3	-	
Total Alkalinity	162	33	175	43	150	54
Suspended Solids	47	3.0	180	3.5	490	4.0
Settleable Solids (ml/1) 4.0	<0.05	12	<0.05	34	<0.05
Total Solids	580	450	700	450	1,450	380
Hardness	94	88	-	-	_	
Total Kjeldahl-Nitrogen	50	2.5	50	8.8	45	2.8
Ammonia-Nitrogen	19	0.06	22	0.12	17	0.03
Nitrate-Nitrogen	0.1	16	0.2	15	0.1	7.4
Total Phosphorus	14	5.3	14	5.5	19	3.3
Chlorine Residual*	-	1.8	-	1.5	-	-
Fecal Coliform Bacteria	* -	<5	-	<20	-	<5
(organisms/100 ml)						
Chloride	96	104	100	100	100	86
Flow (MGD)	-	3.14	-	3.07	_	3.64
Aluminum	0.45	0.17	0.95	0.50	-	-
Cadmium	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	_	-
Chromium	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0,02	-	
Copper	0.36	0.08	0.57	0.09	-	-
Iron	0.84	0.08	1.2	0.08	-	-
Manganese	0.11	0.05	0.18	<0.02	-	-
Nickel	<0.03	<0.03	<0.03	<0.03	-	-
Lead	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	_	-
Zinc	0.07	0.05	0.17	0.12	-	-
Silver	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	-	-

SHREWSBURY WASTEWATER TREATMENT PLANT

Results of Laboratory Analyses

(Samples are 24-hour Composite and Units mg/1 unless otherwise noted)

	EFFLUENT					
PARAMETER	2/18/87	3/16-17/87	4/15-16/87	5/12-13/87		
BOD5	250	65	87	138		
pH (Standard units)*	-	6.55	6.55	7.1		
Total Alkalinity	198	105	95	130		
Suspended Solids	122	12	88	62		
Settleable Solids (ml/l)	2.0	1.8	0.7	1.5		
Total Solids	800	200	390	420		
Hardness	-	-	-			
Total Kjeldahl-Nitrogen	28	18	14	18		
Ammonia-Nitrogen	18	12	7.9	16		
Nitrate-Nitrogen	0.2	0.4	0.6	1.1		
Total Phosphorus	9.6	4.9	3.5	4.5		
Chlorine Residual*	-	-	0.075	0.10		
Fecal Coliform Bacteria (organisms/100 ml)*	-	-	-	2,400,000		
Chloride	-	108	89	84		
Flow (MGD)	1.66	2.37	3.56	2.29		
Temperature (°C)*	_	8	9	9		
Aluminum		-	-	0.18		
Cadmium	-	-	-	<0.02		
Chromium	-	-	-	<0.02		
Copper	-	-	-	0.22		
Iron	_	-	-	0.55		
Manganese	-	-	-	0.09		
Nickel	-	-		<0.03		
Lead	-	-	-	<0.05		
Zinc	-	-	-	0.05		
Silver	-	-	-	<0.02		

MARLBOROUGH WEST WASTEWATER TREATMENT PLANT

Results of Laboratory Analyses

(Samples are 24-hour Composite and Units mg/l unless otherwise noted)

	7/21-22/87		7/22-23/87		9/1-2/87	9/2-3/87	
PARAMETER	INFLUENT	EFFLUENT	INFLUENT	EFFLUENT	EFFLUENT	EFFLUENT	
				- <u>-</u>			
BOD5	460	20	320	26	14	/.8	
pH (Standard units)*	-	6.9	-	7.0	7.0	6.95	
Total Alkalinity	131	154	89	174	205	173	
Suspended Solids	120	6.5	180	23	22	11	
Settleable Solids (ml/1) –	<0.05	14	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	
Total Solids	620	460	600	480	710	580	
Hardness	-	-	-	-	50		
Total Kjeldahl-Nitrogen	39	17	51	21	24	26	
Ammonia-Nitrogen	26	17	18	19	22	15	
Nitrate-Nitrogen	0.5	<0.1	0.6	0.1	0.1	<0.1	
Total Phosphorus	12	12	12	7.0	25	9.2	
Chlorine Residual*	-	>2.0	-	-	0.1	0.1	
Fecal Coliform Bacteria (organisms/100 ml)	* -	<10	-	<10	500	100	
Chloride	70	83	80	95	100	108	
Flow (MGD)	-	1.45	-	1.69	1.4	1.44	
Temperature (°C)*	-	20	-	20	19	19	
Aluminum	1.6	<0.10	0.95	<0.10	0.14	0.23	
Cadmium	<0,02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	
Chromium	0.12	0.11	0.04	<0.02	0.03	<0.02	
Copper	0.42	0.04	0.44	0.04	0.04	<0.02	
Iron	4.6	0.83	3.8	0.58	0.53	0.43	
Manganese	0.18	0.16	0.15	0.17	0.10	0.13	
Nickel	0.95	0.43	1.7	0.57	0.86	0.88	
Lead	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	
Zinc	0.31	0.16	0.31	0.10	0.16	0.20	
Silver	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	
Tetrahydrofuran (ug/1)*	-	41	_	100	-	_	
Chloroform (ug/1)*	-	1.7	-	1.8	-	-	
<pre>1,1,1-Trichloroethane (ug/1)*</pre>	-	13	-	15	-	-	
Toluene(ug/l)*	~	ND	-	<1.0		-	

HUDSON WASTEWATER TREATMENT PLANT

Results of Laboratory Analyses

(Samples are 24-hour Composite and Units mg/1 unless otherwise noted)

	7/2	1-22/87	7/22-	-23/87	9/1-2/87	9/2-3/87
PARAMETER	INFLUENT	EFFLUENT	INFLUENT	EFFLUENT	EFFLUENT	EFFLUENT
BOD ₅	130	30	170	19	11	32
pH (Standard units)*	-	6.65		6.4	6.5	6.55
Total Alkalinity	97	56	100	42	83	53
Suspended Solids	84	8.0	100	14	8.5	12
Settleable Solids (m1/1) -	<0,05	7.0	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05
Total Solids	750	610	700	590	740	>10
Hardness	-	_	-	-	153	-
Total Kjeldahl-Nitrogen	28	15	48	15	3.0	10
Ammonia-Nitrogen	26	10	21	9.0	0.09	1.4
Nitrate-Nitrogen	0.6	1.9	<0.1	7.8	16	18
Total Phosphorus	6.2	5.2	8.8	5.2	5.7	6.8
Chlorine Residual*	-	0.5	-	-	1.5	1.2
Fecal Coliform Bacteria (organisms/100 ml)	* -	<5	-	10	<10	<20
Chloride	230	200	240	200	200	176
Flow (MGD)	-	2.04	_	1.91	2.09	2.1
Temperature (°C)*		21	_	20	19	19
Aluminum	0.37	<0.10	0.30	<0.10	<0.10	0.38
Cadmium	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02
Chromium	0.24	0.06	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02
Copper	0.25	0.15	0,28	0.07	0,06	0.10
Iron	2.1	0.33	1.1	0.22	0.10	0.09
Manganese	0.08	0.08	0.09	0.10	0.03	0.09
Nickel	0.07	<0.03	<0.03	<0.03	<0.03	<0.03
Lead	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05
Zinc	0.21	0.05	0.18	0.05	0.02	0.09
Silver	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0,02	<0.02
Chloroform (ug/1)*	-	1.5	-	1.0	-	-
Tetrahydrofuran (ug/1)*	-	ND	-	3.2	-	-

MAYNARD WASTEWATER TREATMENT PLANT

Results of Laboratory Analyses

(Samples are 24-hour Composite and Units mg/1 unless otherwise noted)

	7/2.	1-22/87	7/22-	-23/87	9/1-2/87	9/2-3/87
PARAMETER	INFLUENT	EFFLUENT	INFLUENT	EFFLUENT	EFFLUENT	EFFLUENT
BOD ₅	240	40	190	36	21	27
pH (Standard units)*	-	6.9	-	7.0	7.0	6.85
Total Alkalinity	127	100	144	102	124	127
Suspended Solids	74	8.0	92	14	8.0	12
Settleable Solids (ml/1) <0.05	<0.05	8.0	<0.05	<0.05	1.1
Total Solids	420	300	380	300	310	330
Total Kjeldahl-Nitrogen	43	20	45	26	22	35
Ammonia-Nitrogen	0.36	1.9	31	18	22	25
Nitrate-Nitrogen	0.5	8.8	0.2	1.9	3.6	3.6
Total Phosphorus	13	6.3	11	7.9	4.4	7.1
Chlorine Residual*	-	1.0	-	-	2.3	2.1
Fecal Coliform Bacteria (organisms/100 ml)	* -	10	_	<10	10	<20
Chloride	30	40	46	45	49	48
Flow (MGD)	_	1.1	-	1.36	1.34	1.2
Temperature (°C)*	-	20	-	20	22	20
Aluminum	0.66	<0.10	0.41	<0,10	<0.10	0.13
Cadmium	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02
Chromium	0.06	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02
Copper	0.49	0.12	0.34	0.06	0.05	0.17
Iron	2.7	0.30	2.0	0.38	0.26	0.36
Manganese	0.16	0.19	0.14	0.10	0.07	0.10
Nickel	<0.03	<0.03	<0.03	<0.03	<0.03	<0.03
Lead	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05
Zinc	0.21	0.05	0.12	0.05	0.02	0.10
Silver	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02
Chloroform (ug/1)*	-	1.2	-	1.0	-	-
Toluene (ug/l)*	-	1.7	-	1.8	-	-

CONCORD MCI WASTEWATER TREATMENT PLANT

Results of Laboratory Analyses

(Samples are 24-hour Composite and Units mg/l unless otherwise noted)

PARAMETER	9/1-2/87 9/2-3/87 EFFLUENT			
BOD5	11	110		
pH (Standard units)*	6.0	_		
Total Alkalinity	83	69		
Suspended Solids	8.5	300		
Settleable Solids (ml/l)	<0.05	61		
Total Solids	740	600		
Total Kjeldahl-Nitrogen	3.0	33		
Ammonia-Nitrogen	0.09	2.2		
Nitrate-Nitrogen	16	0.5		
Total Phosphorus	5.7	10		
Chlorine Residual*	>3.5	**		
Fecal Coliform Bacteria* (organisms/100 ml)	91	2,300		
Chloride	200	48		
Flow (MGD)	0.188	0.188		
Temperature (°C)*	25	25		
Aluminum	0.60	-		
Cadmium	<0.02	-		
Chromium	<0.02	-		
Copper	0.03	-		
Iron	0.60	-		
Manganese	0.02	-		
Nickel	<0.03	-		
Lead	<0.05	-		
Zinc	0.11	-		
Silver	<0.02	-		
Chloroform (ug/1)*	20	-		
Bromodichloromethane (ug/1)*	2.9	-		
Toluene (ug/1)*	1.9	-		
Chlorobenzene (ug/l)*	7.0	-		

*Grab Sample ** Sample not taken due to high turbidity

THE ASSESSMENT OF WATER POLLUTION

A workable definition of the term "water pollution" is difficult to formulate simply because pollution problems are extremely variable and sitespecific. The word "pollute" literally means to "render impure"; however, a more acceptable definition of pollution must take factors into account such as the chemical, physical, and biological characteristics of natural water, the intended use of a receiving water, and an understanding of the nature and fate of a given pollutant after its introduction into a body of water. Regardless of how we choose to define it, water pollution involves the addition of certain characteristics to a water body which may render that water unfit for its intended utilization. Some of the more common forms of water pollution include: oxygen demanding organic wastes and sewage derivatives; man-made organic compounds such as pesticides, some industrial wastes, and inorganic toxic compounds; infectious disease producing agents; nutrients and sediments from land runoff; radioactive substances; oil and grease; and thermal discharges. In some instances, the combined effects of two or more contaminants are further enhanced by their interaction. In short, whether discharged from residences, institutions, or industries, each form of pollution has a characteristic effect on the water into which it is introduced. The receiving water may become unsightly, malodorous, and/or a hazard to public health, and consequently its uses may be severely limited.

Methods used for the assessment of water quality generally fall into three main categories: chemical, physical, and biological. Chemical analyses may include the determination of pH and alkalinity in addition to the concentrations of various ions, metals, and dissolved gases such as oxygen, carbon dioxide and hydrogen sulfide. Physical parameters often measured are temperature, color, turbidity, and flow characteristics. Biological methods may be categorized as either field surveys which are an attempt to ascertain the numbers and kinds of aquatic organisms associated with various water qualities, or laboratory studies in which bioassays are conducted to determine the levels of toxicity of different chemical parameters for a given test organism.

The parameters listed above are measured in most water quality surveys conducted by the Massachusetts Division of Water Pollution Control. The Biological Section conducts macroinvertebrate surveys on selected rivers and streams throughout the Commonwealth as well as certain bioassays. In addition, microscopic examinations are conducted during most surveys to determine the abundance and kinds of algae and other microorganisms present in the water. Finally, bacterial samples are obtained and analyzed during each water quality survey.

Two types of samples are collected for chemical analysis. A grab sample is an instantaneous sample collected to indicate water quality conditions at a particular time. Composite samples are collected over a period of time at specific intervals. This method gives a better indication of the overall water quality situation during the sampling period.

Oxygen Relationships

The dissolved oxygen (D.0.) in water refers to the uncombined oxygen held in solution and thereby made available to aquatic organisms for respiration. Sources of dissolved oxygen include atmospheric aeration and the direct addition of oxygen as the byproduct of chemical reduction reactions and algal photosynthesis. Whereas respiratory processes of aquatic organisms consume oxygen throughout the day and night, photosynthetic release of oxygen is restricted to the daylight hours. As a result, productive waters exhibit a characteristic diurnal variation in dissolved oxygen concentration. The solubility of oxygen (O_2) in water is primarily a function of water temperature and the atmospheric partial pressure of oxygen. Saturation levels at standard pressure (760 mm Hg) range from 14.6 mg/1 O_2 at 0°C to 6.6 mg/1 O_2 at 40°C.

Organic matter is introduced to a river or stream either as the result of natural phenomena, such as the deposition of leaves and other plant materials in autumn, or by the discharge of pollutants resulting from human related activities. Regardless of its origin, organic matter is gradually decomposed by bacteria which utilize the available dissolved oxygen in the water. Therefore, the ability of a stream segment to assimilate these organic materials, that is, its <u>waste assimilative capacity</u>, is dependent upon the amount of dissolved oxygen present in the water. In many instances, the assimilation of large amounts of organic wastes severely depletes the oxygen concentration in the water body rendering it unsuitable for the existence of aquatic organisms such as invertebrates and fish.

The <u>biochemical oxygen demand</u> (BOD) is a measure of the amount of oxygen required by bacteria to decompose a given amount of organic matter. This decomposition process occurs in two distinct steps each governed by a specific kind of bacteria. During the first step, or carbonaceous stage, carbon compounds are stabilized with a concurrent release of carbon dioxide. The second stage, nitrification, begins approximately seven days later and is the process by which nitrogenous substances are broken down to ammonia and ultimately to nitrate. The total combined oxygen demands of both stages is the ultimate BOD which may be exerted over a period of thirty days or more. Through recurrent use, the five day BOD (BOD₅) has been accepted as the standard test used in water quality analysis. While the BOD₅ of untreated sewage normally ranges from 150 to 300 mg/l, the BOD₅ of an unpolluted water rarely exceeds 2 mg/l.

Some types of organic wastes are not readily broken down by bacteria but can be decomposed by chemical processes. The <u>chemical oxygen demand</u> (COD) refers to the amount of oxygen required for the dichromate oxidation of a given amount of organic matter. Since some organic matter in any waste is not biodegradable, the COD is usually greater than the BOD.

Nutrients

Nutrients are substances that are essential to the growth or reproduction of organisms. In aquatic habitats algae and macrophytes rely on dissolved nitrogen and phosphorus compounds as nutrients and, as such, these substances are not harmful at low concentrations. Wastewater discharges often contain large amounts of carbon, nitrogen, and phosphorus containing compounds. Excessive nutrient loading of a water body increases plant production. As a result of this increased productivity, rapidly multiplying algal populations or "blooms" occur which may severely limit the potential use of the water. In many instances a high oxygen demand is exerted by the decomposing algae resulting from a sudden dieback.

Nitrogen compounds exist in water in a variety of forms. They may occur as cellular components, particulate matter, soluble organic substances or inorganic ions. These different forms and their interrelated chemical reactions are collectively known as the nitrogen cycle. Organic nitrogen in the form of protein, amino acid, or urea occurs in water containing organic wastes. Oxidation and reduction of these nitrogenous compounds are closely linked to the metabolic activity of many kinds of microorganisms. As described above, nitrification is tied to bacterial action, and is carried out by a fixed sequence of reactions through which ammonia, nitrite, and ultimately nitrate are produced. Therefore, the progress of decomposition of organic nitrogen can be determined by assessing the relative amounts of these compounds. Ammonia (NH3) results from the initial decomposition of organic nitrogen and is always present in untreated sewage. It can also be formed by the reduction of nitrite. Ammonia exerts a high oxygen demand and is toxic to many aquatic organisms. Oxidation of ammonia yields nitrite (NO2⁻) which is quickly converted to nitrate (NO3⁻), the end product of the decomposition of nitrogenous matter. Nitrate is the form of nitrogen that is directly available to algae and other aquatic plants as a nutrient.

<u>Phosphorus</u> is present in water bodies in dissolved, colloidal, or particulate states and originates primarily from agricultural runoff and wastewaters containing detergents. It may exist as orthophosphate, polyphosphate, or in organic compounds. Although phosphorus occurs in natural waters in smaller amounts than nitrogen, it is an essential plant nutrient.

Coliform Bacteria

Fecal coliform bacteria are found in the intestinal tract of warm-blooded animals. Although not a serious health hazard by themselves, their presence in water is a good indication that sewage and associated pathogenic microorganisms may be present. Since coliforms can be detected by relatively simple test procedures they are used to indicate the extent of bacterial pollution from sewage and combined sewer overflows. Tests are usually conducted to determine the number of fecal and total coliforms present in water or wastewater. The number of total coliforms includes those of fecal origin and from non-fecal sources such as soil, grain, or decaying vegetation. In areas where urban runoff is a problem, total coliform levels can be very high, whereas fecal coliform levels may remain minimal as long as sewage is not present in the water. Often municipal wastes are disinfected at a treatment plant to kill bacteria before they are discharged to a receiving water.

pH and Alkalinity

The pH of water is a measure of its hydrogen ion (H⁺) concentration on an inverse logarithmic scale which ranges from 0 to 14. pH values of less than 7 indicate higher H⁺ content and therefore acidic solutions whereas pH values above 7 denote alkaline solutions. The pH of pure water at 25°C is 7.00; however, natural waters exhibit a wide range of pH values depending upon their chemical and biological characteristics. Unpolluted river water usually has a pH between 6.5 and 8.5. In productive segments, a diurnal fluctuation in pH may occur as photosynthetic organisms take up dissolved carbon dioxide during the daylight hours. Drastic changes in pH occur when industrial effluents containing strong acids or alkali are discharged to a water body. These pH shifts are sometimes toxic to aquatic organisms. Alkalinity is defined as the capacity of water to neutralize acid. This property is attributed to the presence of several different solute species. These are primarily carbonates and bicarbonates but also hydroxides, borates, silicates, and phosphates. Alkalinity is expressed in milligrams per liter of equivalent calcium carbonate.

<u>Sol</u>ids

Suspended solids refers to the particulate matter that either floats on the surface of, or is in suspension in, water or wastewater, and is removable by laboratory filtering techniques. That matter remaining in the water after filtering is referred to as dissolved solids. Suspended solids in a stream may settle out in sluggishly flowing segments causing sediments to build up on the substrate. This siltation can be particularly harmful to fish eggs and larvae by hindering their mechanisms for obtaining oxygen from the water. Suspended solids analysis provides a reliable measure of the efficiency of wastewater treatment facilities. Primary treatment should remove about 50 percent of the suspended solids from an influent while 90 percent removal should result from secondary treatment. The test for total solids measures all suspended and dissolved solids in water. They are measured by evaporating the water from a sample of known volume and weighing the resi-This residue can then be ignited in a furnace to determine the orgadue. nic portion. Turbidity is a measure of the clarity of a water sample and is related to solids content. The laboratory test is based on the scattering and absorption of light by the sample and the results are expressed in Nephelometric Turbidity Units (NTU).

Color

The color of natural water is primarily due to the leaching of organic debris and is empirically determined by comparing the sample with known concentrations of colored solution. It is then expressed in standard units of color. Severe color problems resulting from a pollution discharge are described qualitatively rather than numerically. Although color may not be harmful to aquatic life, it may render the water unacceptable for drinking purposes and for some types of industrial use.

Other Parameters

Depending upon specific river conditions, a water quality survey may include additional analyses such as those for oil and grease, heavy metal, or toxic organic compound content. Grease in a wastewater consists of a mixture of fats, waxes, free fatty acids, calcium and magnesium soaps, mineral oils, and certain other nonfatty substances.

Heavy metals and certain organic compounds are toxic to aquatic organisms when present in sufficient quantities. They may also have an adverse effect on sewage and industrial wastewater treatment systems. Metals that are often monitored are cadmium, chromium, copper, iron, lead, manganese, nickel, and zinc.

MICROTOX TEST RESULTS

Test Description

Microtox is the trade name for a particular short-term acute toxicity test. The Westborough Technical Services Branch currently uses the test as a toxics screening tool in addition to its other, more traditional methods of analysis.

The Microtox test uses freeze-dried luminescent bacteria as its indicator organisms. When re-hydrated, these bacteria give off light. To test a water sample for toxicity using Microtox, an analyst prepares a series of dilutions of the sample and adds re-hydrated bacteria to these. The light intensity of each sample dilution is measured over a 30-minute period and compared with that of a control (bacteria only). It is assumed that changes in light intensity are due to toxicant interference with the biochemical reaction that produces light. Toxicity is then measured as the percent decrease in light intensity of each of the sample dilutions compared with that of the control.

Data Interpretations

The most commonly used result from these tests is the 30-minute EC50. This is defined as the sample Concentration causing a 50% reduction in the measured Effect (light production) over a 30-minute time period. The relationship of the EC50 to toxicity is an inverse one: i.e., the lower the EC50, the greater the toxicity of the sample.

Samples not toxic enough to produce a full 50% decrease in light over the time allotted for the test may still be toxic enough to produce a response in the test. The EC_{20} and EC_{10} (sample concentrations causing a 20% and 10% reduction in light intensity) are reported in order to give the regulator an idea of incipient toxicity - sample dilutions which induce a small, but measurable, response in the test.

ANALYTICAL METHODS USED AT LAWRENCE EXPERIMENT STATION

PARAMETER	METHOD	REPORTED AS
BOD	5-day oxygen depletion at 20°C	mg/1 BOD
Dissolved Oxygen	Azide modification of Winkler method. 0.0375 N sodium thio- sulfate titrant, 300 ml sample	mg/1 D.O.
рН	Electrometric, glass indicator, silver chloride reference	pH Standard Logarithmic Units
Turbidity	Nephelometric. Hach Turbidi- meter. Model 2100A	Nephelometric Turbidity Units
Total Alkalinity	0.02 N sulfuric acid potentio- metric titration to pH 4.5, Orion Model 701, digital pH meter	mg/l CaCO ₃
Suspended Solids	Filtration through standard glass fiber filter paper. Residue dried at 103-105°C. Gravimetric	mg/1 S.S.
Total Solids	Evaporation to dryness at 103- 105°C. Gravimetric	mg/1 T.S.
Settleable Solids	Gravimetric settling using an Imhoff cone	ml/l sett. solids
Total Kjeldahl~ Nitrogen	Acid digestion using Technical BD-40 Block Digester. Colori- metric analysis (reaction of ammonia, sodium salicylate, sodium nitroprusside, and sodium hypochlorite in buffered alkaline medium) using Technicon Auto Analyzer II	mg/1 TKN
Ammonía-Nítrogen	Phenate method, automated. Colori- metric analysis using Technicon Auto Analyzer II	mg/l NH ₃ -N
Nitrate-Nitrogen	Hydrazine reduction method, auto- mated. Colorimetric analysis using Technicon Auto Analyzer II	mg/l NO ₃ -N

ANALYTICAL METHODS (CONTINUED)

PARAMETER	METHOD	REPORTED AS
Total Phosphate	Acid digestion using Technicon BD-40 Block Dígester. Ascorbic acid reduction colorimetric method using Technicon Auto Analyzer II	mg/l P
Total Coliform	Membrane filter technique	Total coliforms/100 ml
Fecal Coliform	Membrane filter technique	Fecal coliforms/100 ml
COD	Dichromate reflux	mg/l COD
Conductivity	Wheatstone Bridge type meter. Yellow Springs Instrument conductivity bridge, Model 31	umhos/cm
Color	Visual comparison of sample with known concentrations of colored solutions	Color Units
Chloride	Argentometric (titration with silver nitrate)	mg/1 C1
Silica	Colorimetric analysis (silico- molybdate reduction in acidic solution to "heteropoly blue" by aminonaphtholsulfonic acid) Technicon Auto Analyzer I	mg/l SiO ₂
Cadmium, copper, chromium, iron, lead, magnesium, manganese, nickel, zinc, hardness (Ca+Mg)	Atomic Absorption Spectrophoto- metry. Air-acetylene flame. Perkin-Elmer Model 403	mg/l
Aluminum, Tin	Atomic Absorption Spectrophoto- metry. Nitrous oxide-acetylene flame. Perkin-Elmer Model 403	mg/l
dexavalent Chromium Colorimetric using diphenyl- carbazide. Klett-Summerson photoelectric colorimeter		mg/l Cr+6
Phenols	4-amino anti-pyrine colorimetric method	mg/l

APPENDIX A

U.S. EPA PROPOS	SED FRESHWATER	CRITERIA FOR	SELECTED
HEAVY METALS D	DESIGNATED TO D	PROTECT AQUATI	C LIFE ¹

	4-DAY A	VERAGE	ONE-HOUF	AVERAGE
METAL	a ²	<u> </u>	a ²	<u>b²</u>
Cadmium	0.7852	-3.49	1.128	-3,828
Chromium III	0.8190	1.561	0.8190	3,688
Copper	0.8545	-1.465	0.9422	-1,464
Lead	1.273	-4.705	1.273	-1,460
Mercury	(0.012 ug/1)		(2.4 ug/1)	
	24-HOUR	AVERAGE	AT ANY TIM	E NOT TO EXCEED
	2	<u>b</u> 2	a ²	ъ2
Nickel	0.76	1.06	0.76	4.02
Zinc	(47 u	.g/1)	0.83	1.95

¹ EPA "Quality Criteria for Water 1986," EPA 440/5-86-001, May, 1986. ² For input into: exp (a [ln (hardness in mg/l)] + b)

APPENDIX B

U.S. EPA PROPOSED FRESHWATER CRITERIA FOR SELECTED

HEAVY METALS ADJUSTED FOR ASSABET RIVER HARDNESS (50 mg/l as $CaCo_3$)

METAL	4-DAY AVERAGE (mg/l)	ONE-HOUR AVERAGE (mg/1)		
Cadmium	0.0007	0.0018		
Cadmidm	0.0007	0.0018		
Chromium III	0.117	0.984		
Copper	0.0065	0.0092		
Lead	0.0013	0.0338		
Mercury	0,00001	0.0024		
	24-HOUR AVERAGE (mg/1)	AT ANY TIME NOT TO EXCEED (mg/1)		
Nickel	0.056	1.09		
Zinc	0.047	0.181		

APPENDIX C

CLASSIFICATION OF SLUDGE FOR LAND APPLICATION

310 CMR 32.00

ALLOWABLE CONCENTRATIONS

	HEGOWIEDDE OUNOMITAIL LOND			
PARAMETER	(mg/kg) CLASS I	CLASS II	CLASS III	
Cadmium	2	2-25	<25	
Lead	<300	300-1000	<1000	
Nickel	<200		<200	
Zinc	<2500		<2500	
Copper	<1000		<1000	
Chromium (Total)	<1000		<1000	
Mercury	<10		<10	
Molybdenum	<10		<10	
Boron (water soluble)	<300		<300	
PCBs in Class I sludge which is a commercial fertilizer	<2	2-10	<10	
PCBs in Class I sludge which is a commercial soil conditioner	<1	1-10	<10	

